

COMPARISON OF PERI OPERATIVE HEMODYNAMIC STABILITY IN ELECTIVE LOWER SEGMENT CESAREAN SECTION UNDER SPINAL ANESTHESIA WITH 0.5% OR 0.75% INJECTION BUPIVACAINE

¹Dr Mansoor Ayub, ²Dr Mobeen Ikram, ³Dr Aqsa Mustqeen, ⁴Dr Nusrat Shaheen

¹Department of Anesthesiology ²CMH Kharian ³Ayub Teaching Hospitals ⁴Ajk Medical College

ABSTRACT

Background:

Objective: To compare peri-Operative hemodynamic stability in elective lower segment Cesarean Section under spinal anesthesia with 0.5% or 0.75% injection Bupivacaine.

Methods: This was randomized controlled trial conducted at Department of Anesthesiology Combined Military Hospital Kharian; Study was conducted from November 2023 to February 2024 Total 74 patients (37 in each group) were included in the study. Patients were randomly divided into two groups with the help of random numbers generated through excel. In Group-A patients were administered 0.5% Bupivacaine solution and in Group-B patients were administered 0.75% of Bupivacaine solution. Heart rate (HR), electrocardiogram (ECG), noninvasive blood pressure (NIBP) and pulse oxymetery (SpO2) readings were taken every three minutes for the first half an hour and every fifteen minutes thereafter. Hypotension, bradycardia was noted down as per standard operational definition. Any side effects/adverse events such as hypotension, bradycardia, nausea and vomiting were documented and managed as per institutional protocol.





Results: Results of this study showed that systolic, diastolic blood pressure and heart rate showed no significant difference between groups at baseline, 10, 20 and 30 minutes respectively. No significant difference was seen between study groups for hypotension (p-value=0.643), bradycardia (p-value=0.691), nausea (p-value=0.235) and vomiting (p-value=0.235) respectively. Frequency of nausea was higher in 0.75% bupivacaine.

Conclusion: Results of this study demonstrate no significant difference between 0.5% and 0.75% bupivacaine for hemodynamic changes as well as for bradycardia, hypotension and nausea/vomiting.

Key Words: Hemodynamic, Elective, Cesarean Section, Spinal anesthesia, Bupivacaine





INTRODUCTION

Spinal anaesthesia has been considered as the most safe and effective technique of anaesthesia for obstetric patients and is used extensively worldwide ⁽¹⁾. The choice of local anaesthetic solution used should be beneficial to the pregnant female and least depressant to the neonate while achieving adequate sensory and motor block for surgery ⁽²⁾. Bupivacaine is the most commonly used local anaesthetic for spinal anaesthesia ⁽³⁾. Despite of being successful and cost effective method of regional anaesthesia, spinal anaesthesia has undesirable side effects including hypotension and bradycardia ⁽⁴⁾.

Hypotension is common in spinal anesthesia and it occurs in approximately 30% of the normal patients and as much as 80-90% in obstetric population ⁽⁵⁾. It is believed to be caused by decrease in systemic vascular resistance or cardiac output as a result of inhibition of resting sympathetic tone. These hemodynamic changes can produce signs such as nausea, vomiting and dyspnea in patients after administration of intrathecal injection.⁽⁶⁾

The baricity of local anaesthetic solutions vary and solutions can be rendered hyperbaric by the addition of dextrose. Hyperbaric solutions are used more frequently than hypobaric and isobaric solutions and are associated with greater hemodynamic instability.⁽⁷⁾

It is observed that with the use of hyperbaric bupivacaine (0.75%) the incidence of hypotension can be 70-90% during the perioperative period.⁽⁸⁾ According to a research by Rofaeel et al., isobaric bupivacaine produced a higher sensory block level during combined spinal-epidural analgesia for vaginal birth than hyperbaric bupivacaine, with no statistically significant changes in the incidence of hypotension.⁽⁹⁾ According to another study conducted on the use of hyperbaric bupivacaine and its correlation on maternal hypotension, it was found that occurrence of spinal hypotension was associated with dose of hyperbaric bupivacaine >10mg. ⁽¹⁰⁾

In a population of patients undergoing emergency cesarean section, factors associated with greater incidence of hypotension were studied. Baseline systolic pressure <120mmHg, absence



General Medicine,ISSN:1311-1817, VOLUME 26 ISSUES 1, Page: 785-796 Journal link: https://general-medicine.org Abstract Link: https://general-medicine.org/abstract-785-796/ March 2024



of spinal additives, duration of co-loading with crystalloid solution <20mins and speed of administration of local anaesthetic solution <10s were all found to be statically significant in causing hypotension.⁽¹¹⁾

On the contrary, the results of a study have claimed greater hemodynamic fluctuations and more frequent requirement of vasopressor with the use of isobaric bupivacaine as compared to hyperbaric bupivacaine after spinal anaesthesia for elective cesarean section.⁽¹²⁾

Additional research is advised by the literature to ascertain the impact of spinal local anaesthetic baricity on the characteristics of the spinal block and the incidence of hypotension particularly in obstetric patients. Our study was initiated to assess various bupivacaine concentrations and its impact on maternal hemodynamic alterations post-operatively in light of the paucity of publications and contradictory results on this subject.

Objective: The objective of this study is to compare peri-Operative hemodynamic stability in elective lower segment Cesarean Section under spinal anesthesia with 0.5% or 0.75% injection Bupivacaine.

METHODS

This was a randomized controlled trial conducted at Department of Anesthesiology, Combined Military Hospital Kharian. Study was conducted from November 2023 to February 2024. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional ethical review board committee.

Sample size of 74 patients (37 in each group) is calculated with 95% confidence interval, 95% power of study and by taking expected mean value of systolic blood pressure with 0.75% and 0.5% Bupivacaine as 111.63±5.96 and 117.16±7.12 mmHg respectively.⁽¹³⁾ Sample selection was done with the help of non-probability purposive sampling technique. Females aged 20-40 years of age with ASA Status II planned to undergo elective caesarean section at term. Females with eclampsia/pre-eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, gestational diabetes mellitus, gestational period of <36 weeks, deranged liver function tests, COPD, congenital anomalies on antenatal ultrasound,



General Medicine,ISSN:1311-1817, VOLUME 26 ISSUES 1, Page: 785-796 Journal link: https://general-medicine.org Abstract Link: https://general-medicine.org/abstract-785-796/ March 2024



maternal hyper or hypothyroidism cases, deranged renal functions and electrolyte imbalance were excluded from the study. Written and verbal informed consent was obtained from all patients. Patients were randomly divided into two groups with the help of random numbers generated through excel. In Group-A patients were administered 0.5% Bupivacaine solution and in Group-B patients were administered 0.75% of Bupivacaine solution. Prior to surgery, each patient was given an intravenous preload of 10 milliliters per kilogram of body weight of Ringers lactate solution after fasting for 08 hours. After confirming free flow of clear cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in all four quadrants using a 25 G Quincke spinal needle with the tip directed cranially and no barbittage, the consultant anesthesiologist administered spinal anesthesia to all patients in the same manner following lumbar puncture while they were sitting in the LV3-LV4 intervertebral space for more than 15 seconds. Heart rate, electrocardiogram (ECG), non-invasive blood pressure (NIBP) pulse oxymetery (SpO2) readings were taken every three minutes for the first half an hour and every fifteen minutes thereafter. Hypotension was considered clinically severe when the mean arterial pressure (MAP) dropped more than 20% from its initial levels or dropped below 70 mm Hg. It was administered 6 mg of intravenous ephedrine as part of the treatment, and the total quantity of ephedrine needed was assessed. A heart rate below 50 beats per minute was deemed clinically significant and was treated with 0.6 mg of intravenous atropine. The institution's procedure was followed to record and treat any adverse events, including hypotension, bradycardia, nausea, and vomiting.

DATA ANALYSIS: Data entry and analysis was done with SPSS version 26. Quantitative variables were presented with mean \pm SD and qualitative variables with frequency and percentage. Comparison of hemodynamic parameters will be done with the help of independent sample t-test and side effect will be compared between group with Chi square test. p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.





RESULTS

Table-1 presents systolic, diastolic and hear rate in study groups at baseline, 10 minutes, 20 minutes and at 30 minutes. No significant difference was seen between hemodynamic parameters during the follow up time duration. At baseline mean systolic blood pressure in Group-A and B was 127.73 and 127.76 mmHg while at 30 minute it 140 and 140.97 Mean diastolic blood pressure in Group-A and B was 86.11 and 86.97 mmHg while at 30 minutes it was 84.51 and 95.03 respectively. Mean heart rate at baseline in Group-A and B was 74.38 and 75.38 and at 30 minutes it was 80.59 and 81.59 respectively. Table-2 presents the side effect in both study groups. No significant difference was seen between study groups for hypotension (p-value=0.643), bradycardia (p-value=0.691), nausea (p-value=0.235) and vomiting (p-value=0.235) respectively. Frequency of nausea was higher in 0.75% bupivacaine.

	Group-A (0.5%- Bupivacaine)	Group-B (0.75%- Bupivacaine)	p- value					
	n=37	n=37						
Age	29.45±6.29	27.70 ± 6.09	-					
ASA- II	26(70.3%)	17(45.9%)	-					
Status			-					
Systolic Blood Pressure								
Baseline	127.73±5.03	127.76±5.01	0.982					
10	121.78±5.16	121.86±5.31	0.947					
Minutes								
20	119.62±5.06	119.86±5.49	0.843					
Minutes								
30	140.00±6.00	140.97±5.89	0.484					
Minutes								

TABLE-1: PATIENTS CHARACTERISTICS IN STUDY GROUPS





Diastolic Blood Pressure							
Baseline	86.11±3.41	0.318					
10	84.24±3.47	85.22±4.10	0.274				
Minutes							
20	86.38±3.51	87.05±4.16	0.453				
Minutes							
30	84.51±3.53	85.03±4.11	0.566				
Minutes							
Heart Rate							
Baseline	74.38±3.06	75.38±3.21	0.174				
10	81.00±3.17	82.05±3.27	0.163				
Minutes							
20	78.92±3.34	79.92±3.39	0.205				
Minutes							
30	80.59±3.35	81.59±3.33	0.202				
Minutes							

TABLE-2: SIDE EFFECTS IN STUDY GROUPS

			Group-A		Group-B	
		(0.5%-Bupivacaine)		(0.75%-Bupivacaine)		
		n=37		n=37		p-value
		n	%	n	%	
Hypotension	Yes	3	8.1%	2	5.4%	0.643
	No	34	91.9%	35	94.6%	
Bradycardia	Yes	3	8.1%	4	10.8%	0.691
	No	34	91.9%	33	89.2%	
Nausea	Yes	5	13.5%	9	24.3%	0.235
	No	32	86.5%	28	75.7%	
Vomiting	Yes	7	18.9%	7	18.9%	
	No	30	81.1%	30	81.1%	-

DISCUSSION





When deciding on an anesthetic approach for a cesarean section, it is crucial to provide sufficient and safe maternal-fetal anesthesia. The usual protocol for a C-section involves spinal anesthesia and the administration of a variety of local anesthetics and analgesics.⁽¹⁴⁾ Patients having cesarean sections under spinal anesthesia are at an increased risk of hemodynamic complications. An significant factor influencing the effects of spinal blockade is the baricity of the local anesthetic.⁽¹⁵⁾

In this study we compared peri-Operative hemodynamic stability in elective lower segment Cesarean Section under spinal anesthesia with 0.5% or 0.75% injection Bupivacaine. Results of this study showed that systolic, diastolic blood pressure and heart rate showed no significant difference between groups at baseline, 10, 20 and 30 minutes respectively. Consistent with the results of this study a local randomized controlled trial reported no significant difference for systolic blood pressure, heart and mean arterial pressure between 0.5% and 0.75% hyperbaric bupivacaine.⁽¹⁶⁾ Similar findings were reported by another local study in which no significant difference was reported between 0.5% and 0.75% Bupivacaine for hemodynamic changes (systolic, diastolic blood pressure and heart rate).⁽¹⁷⁾ Contrary to these findings Shumaila Ali Rai in her study reported better hemodynamic stability and nausea/vomiting with 0.5% bupivacaine.⁽¹⁸⁾

Physiological changes during pregnancy may aid in the dispersion of bupivacaine in the subarachnoid space, which in turn causes hemodynamic abnormalities during spinal anesthesia with hyperbaric bupivacaine during a caesarean delivery. Aortocaval compression, circulating blood volume, and the extent to which the obstruction has extended all have a role in how often and how severely these alterations occur, which in turn may have negative impacts on the notion and lead to serious maternal morbidity and death.⁽¹⁹⁾ In this study we assessed the most common side effects encountered during C-section with spinal anesthesia. i.e. (Bradycardia, hypotension and nausea/vomiting). In this study no significant difference was seen for side effects



General Medicine,ISSN:1311-1817, VOLUME 26 ISSUES 1, Page: 785-796 Journal link: https://general-medicine.org Abstract Link: https://general-medicine.org/abstract-785-796/ March 2024



(hypotension, bradycardia, nausea and vomiting) between groups. Contrary to the findings of this study a local randomized controlled trial reported higher frequency of nausea and vomiting with 0.75% hyperbaric bupivacaine.⁽¹⁶⁾ Shumaila Ali Rai in his study reported higher frequency of Bradycardia with 0.75% bupivacaine (8%) as compared to 0.5% bupivacaine (3%)⁽¹⁸⁾. Other studies have also reported higher frequency of Bradycardia with 0.75% bupivacaine.^(16, 20)

CONCLUSION

Results of this study demonstrate no significant difference between 0.5% and 0.75% bupivacaine for hemodynamic changes as well as for bradycardia, hypotension and nausea/vomiting.





References:

1. Harsoor S, Bhaskara SB. linical A. Obstetric Anaesthesia. 2019:18.

2. Breebaart MB. Local Anaesthetics for Spinal Anaesthesia in Day-Case Surgery. Topics in Local Anesthetics: IntechOpen; 2019. p. 99.

3. Shafiei FT, McAllister RK, Lopez J. Bupivacaine. 2018.

4. Arzola C, Wieczorek P. Efficacy of low-dose bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia for Caesarean delivery: systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Anaesthesia. 2011;107(3):308-18.

5. Siddik-Sayyid SM, Taha SK, Kanazi GE, Aouad MT. A randomized controlled trial of variable rate phenylephrine infusion with rescue phenylephrine boluses versus rescue boluses alone on physician interventions during spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery. Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2014;118(3):611-8.

6. Lee JE, George RB, Habib AS. Spinal-induced hypotension: Incidence, mechanisms, prophylaxis, and management: Summarizing 20 years of research. Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology. 2017;31(1):57-68.

7. Limratana P, Kiatchai T, Somnuke P, Prapakorn P, Suksompong S. The effect of baricity of intrathecal bupivacaine for elective cesarean delivery on maternal cardiac output: a randomized study. International journal of obstetric anesthesia. 2021;45:61-6.

8. Fakherpour A, Ghaem H, Fattahi Z, Zaree S. Maternal and anaesthesia-related risk factors and incidence of spinal anaesthesia-induced hypotension in elective caesarean section: A multinomial logistic regression. Indian Journal of Anaesthesia. 2018;62(1):36.

9. Atashkhoei S, Abedini N, Pourfathi H, Znoz AB, Marandi PH. Baricity of bupivacaine on maternal hemodynamics after spinal anesthesia for cesarean section: a randomized controlled trial. Iranian journal of medical sciences. 2017;42(2):136.

10. Knigin D, Avidan A, Weiniger CF. The effect of spinal hypotension and anesthesia-todelivery time interval on neonatal outcomes in planned cesarean delivery. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2020;223(5):747. e1-. e13.

11. Chekol WB, Melesse DY, Mersha AT. Incidence and factors associated with hypotension in emergency patients that underwent cesarean section with spinal anaesthesia: Prospective observational study. International Journal of Surgery Open. 2021;35:100378.

12. Helill SE, Sahile WA, Abdo RA, Wolde GD, Halil HM. The effects of isobaric and hyperbaric bupivacaine on maternal hemodynamic changes post spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery: A prospective cohort study. PloS one. 2019;14(12):e0226030.

13. Iftikhar H, Aslam A, Rehman HU, Ali Z, Abbass MA, Haider Z. COMPARISON OF HAEMODYNAMIC STABILITY WITH 0.5% AND 0.75% HYPERBARIC BUPIVACAINE





DURING SPINAL ANAESTHESIA IN WOMEN UNDERGOING CAESAREAN SECTION. Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal. 2021;71(6):2078-81.

14. Ferrarezi WPP, Braga AFA, Ferreira VB, Mendes SQ, Brandão MJN, Braga F, et al. Spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean section. Bupivacaine associated with different doses of fentanyl: randomized clinical trial. Braz J Anesthesiol. 2021;71(6):642-8.

15. Atashkhoei S, Abedini N, Pourfathi H, Znoz AB, Marandi PH. Baricity of Bupivacaine on Maternal Hemodynamics after Spinal Anesthesia for Cesarean Section: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Iran J Med Sci. 2017;42(2):136-43.

16. Amjad Q, Sharif A, Khan A. COMPARISON OF 0.5% AND 0.75% HYPERBARIC BUPIVACAINE GIVEN INTRATHECALLY IN ELECTIVE LOWER SEGMENT CAESAREAN SECTION. KMUJ. 2016;8(2):78.

17. Rani Z, Mehmood T, Ishrat Z. Comparison of efficacy and hemodynamic effects of two different concentrations of hyperbaric bupivacain 0.5% and 0.75% during spinal anesthesia. Pak J Med Health Sci. 2019;13(3):659-64.

18. Rai SA, Malak AM, Ali CA. Comparison between 0.5% and 0.75% hyperbaric Bupivacaine given intra-thecally in elective caesarean section. Journal of Postgraduate Medical Institute. 2018;32(1).

19. Brodsky JB, Mariano ER. Regional anaesthesia in the obese patient: lost landmarks and evolving ultrasound guidance. Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology. 2011;25(1):61-72.

20. Goyal A, Shankaranarayan P, Ganapathi P. A randomized clinical study comparing spinal anesthesia with isobaric levobupivacaine with fentanyl and hyperbaric bupivacaine with fentanyl in elective cesarean sections. Anesthesia, Essays and Researches. 2015;9(1):57.

