

MEDICAL PHARMACOLOGY'S FOOTPRINTS IN PAKISTAN: PAST, CURRENT, AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVE

¹Dr Muhammad Amin, ²Dr Qudsia Usman, ³Memoona Fareed, ⁴Dr Mohammed Uzair, ⁵Dr Muhammad Shameel, ⁶Dr Khansa Azad, ⁷Kashif Lodhi

¹Bolan Medical Complex Hospital, Quetta

²CMH Rawalpindi

³H.H. SKBZH Muzaffarabad

⁴Chandka Medical College

⁵Divisional Headquarters Teaching Hospital Mirpur AJK

⁶Chandka medical college Larkana

⁷Department of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences. Università Politénica delle Marche Via Brece Bianche 10, 60131 Ancona (AN) Italy

ABSTRACT:

Aim: Practical pharmacology is a multidisciplinary field that studies all aspects of the drug-human connection. Overall medical pharmacology experts better understand the importance of drug-oriented healthcare services through offering training, research, also regular healthcare services that promote more bearable, effectively, appropriate, and cost-effective medication use. Medical studies, pharmacoepidemiology, drug usage, pharmacovigilance, Pharmacoeconomics, rational usage of medications, pharmacotherapy consulting, drug monitoring, advice to authorities also business, pharmacogenetics, also some additional disciplines are all subsets of clinical pharmacology. This evaluation seeks to give local and foreign professionals in-depth knowledge regarding medical pharmacology performs in Pakistan and to help create a network of interaction and collaboration by advancing those same subsections as components of four main parts of health pharmacology: education, research, and health care. The above evaluation furthermore hopes to serve as a motivating and trailblazing example for the Pakistani political state in addition other nations that have not yet made medical pharmacology useful for enhancing standard of healthcare services, going to promote rational use of medications, assisting in the establishment of medical pharmacology organizations, getting better the quantity and quality of medical pharmacology workforce, also expanding infrastructure and facilities.

Keywords: Medical Pharmacology Footprints, Future Perspectives, Lahore, Mayo Hospital.

INTRODUCTION:

Over the past few decades, drug discovery and usage have gotten increasingly sophisticated, necessitating the hiring of additional clinical specialists [1]. This transformation is caused by a variety of the mentioned facets of pharmaceutical advancement in addition usage: transformation and variety of sources; high expectations of drug discovery and research; search for extra benefits that are important to address emotional neediness at the personal and community levels; perceptions for expense use; proof of identity, clarification, and protection of substance difficulties; and rising demand for pharmaceutical sciences [2-4]. This transition is comparable to the context of nursing pharmacology and the requirement for it. To maximize the impact of medicines, programs that ultimately enhance the healthcare system are created [5]. One such concrete action, comprising of a series of CP-focused actions, was conducted by WHO fifty years ago with the purpose of defining the disciplines and identifying key elements of enhancing also improving drug use in health care services [6]. At the moment, it is recognized that many industrialized nations have a well-established CP castigation that carries out the health services required from the disciplines under numerous areas. Since every country's unique capability and demands have a significant impact on those procedures, the advancement and advancement of CP reflect various methods throughout the world [7-9]. With both the help of the Medical Pharmacology Society also Medical Pharmacology Working Group, two divisions of Pakistani Pharmacological Society, a significant development in this field was only recently expedited in Pakistan in the late 2010s [10]. Even while the nation saw attempts at a well-organized sequence of CP initiatives in the fields of routine healthcare, study, and education, such developments did not result in strong recognition of the CP profession. In terms of an organizational setup, there hasn't been much progress made so far; indeed, the Medical Pharmacology Society's legal personality has been destroyed in 2017, and the majority of CP-related issues were accomplished as a result of impromptu individual actions by CP-focused pharmacologists [11]. However, CPOPsd, with an expected membership of 220 people in Pakistan, has been looking for more and more measures to guarantee that CP operates in an interconnected, systematic, and well-organized means. This evaluation intends to assist in the creation of a network of cooperation and communication by giving local and foreign professionals many from Asian nations—detailed knowledge regarding CP practices in Pakistan [12]. This also tries to motivate and set an example for other nations that have not yet implemented CP by making people aware of how the CP restraint whether directly or indirectly enhances excellence of healthcare services, promoting the balanced usage of medicines for altogether stakeholders, and continuing to support the establishment of CP organizations or academic units. The field of medical and biological pharmacology (CP) investigates all dimensions of the interaction among medications and people, including pharmacology [13]. Pharmacology plays a crucial role in this multidisciplinary sector where all participating specialists primarily work to produce better drugs or to promote their efficient usage in order to indirectly or directly enhance healthcare services. The person who prioritizes those objectives is a medical pharmacologist [14]. A medical pharmacologist is a specialist doctor who promotes better drug-oriented health services through routine medical treatment, routine research, and

instructional activities that assure better efficient, acceptable, appropriate and cost-effective medication usage [15]. Medical pharmacologists, often known as CPOPs, are physician-based pharmacologists who manage patients' CP, despite the fact that there is no official definition of the term in Pakistan. In fact, the lead investigator of initial disease drug studies must be a physician-based pharmacologist according to current standards for medical studies. This sentence might serve as an illustration of how CPOPs are formally defined under national regulations [16]. So, this evaluation will refer to experts as CPOPs. On the contrary hand, there are still debates over CP's interest areas and the function of CPOPs both globally and in Pakistan. Nonetheless, a progressive decline within those discussions in nations having effective CP organizations is encouraging since it suggests that if crucial steps are done, the theoretical and organizational foundation of the profession might be delineated more clearly [17]. Thinking back at the history of CP in Pakistan, medical pharmacology is profession's primary basis of knowledge [18-20].

METHODOLOGY:

Notwithstanding CP's deficiency of formal condition as economic independence, the presence of CP as self-control within the medical pharmacology division of medical faculty members has already been championed, particularly since the Council of Higher Education removed the concept of "medical pharmacology" from the headline of the Division of Pharmacology and Medical Pharmacology across all pharmacology agencies in medical capacities in Pakistan in 2021. In reality, this strategy makes sense given that the medical pharmacology department provides the majority of academic-based CP services [18]. However, such CP services at non-academic secondary and tertiary hospitals are typically offered in the non-standardized way by various offices or departments, dependent on regional capacities and initiatives. This situation has a number of undesirable effects, such as recording CP activities and associated subsequent payout in reimbursement procedures. In Table, I, a list of the CP service divisions offered in Pakistan is provided. The inhabitants of the nation are relatively youthful, with 24.5% of people aged 1 to 15 and 7.9% aged 67 or over, however, there is a tendency toward aging since the latter group has had a relative growth of 26.2% during the past 11 years. According to data from the Pakistan Ministry of Health, there had been 147,998 certified doctors in total in 2019. Of the above, 56.1% constituted specialties, 25.7% provided primary care, and the remainder 17.3% were resident doctors. According to the same statistics, there were 1519 hospitals overall having 226,867 beds. There are 69 university hospitals, and in 2021, public hospitals made up 54.8% of the total. Below, a thorough discussion of the present incarnation, future developments, and pertinent demands and hopes of CP's subgroups in Pakistan is provided. But other than professional pharmacologists, each of the above subdisciplines has its own experts, such as critical care specialists, obstetricians, psychiatrists, geriatricians, and others, who perform work that is not exclusively encompassed by CP. Additionally, those organizations might occasionally encounter discrepancies that cause them to act as roadblocks to the growing CP trend. In actuality, though, the regulation is supposed to deter pointless rivalries, promote teamwork among participants, and even aid

in the development of skilled labor. Education is a crucial component of CP in undergraduate and graduate contexts, particularly in terms of RUM and counseling fellow professionals with pertinent topics.

RESULTS:

In Pakistan, undergraduate CP education uses a variety of methods. In conformity with the fundamental CP concepts, medical universities' sections of medical pharmacology provide a variety of theoretical and partially operational rational pharmacotherapy curricula at various stages of the educational process. Issue-based logical pharmacotherapy education serves as the widely used undergraduate CP teaching format. It was developed by the administration of Mayo Hospital with assistance from the World Health Organization. This concept, which was launched in the early 2010s, intends to teach medical students rational pharmacotherapy knowledge and abilities so they may prevent unnecessary practices of illogical medication usage. In reality, the model's train-the-trainer initiatives hastened the program's global adoption and has been credited by multiple instances of significant successes with the use of such problem-based methodology. Therefore, it may be stated that Pakistani medical students were among the first to use this effective approach. As the problem-based rationale pharmacotherapy education, it must have been originally incorporated into curriculum in 1998 through pharmacology department of medical college at Mayo Hospital. Subsequently, additional medical faculties adopted it more and more. 24 departments (56.6% of participants) were found to have embraced this problem-based learning methodology, according to a review from 2019. It was also observed that 71.4% of medical pharmacology department used rational pharmacotherapy instruction in an undergraduate context, and 28.7% of 290 faculty members had received training in rational pharmacotherapy. Given the educational rationale of pharmacotherapy efforts to train the trainers conducted by a partnership between the CP working party, the MoH, medical universities, and some other institutions, those numbers are predicted to rise within around 17 years. Most of the academic staff today have more than 21 years of expertise in teaching rational pharmacotherapy. In the fourth, fifth, or sixth year of the curriculum, the above learning is typically implemented under the auspices of medical pharmacology agencies as a different CP clerkship or as a component of other clinical clerkships. It is also every once in a while, endorsed by other academics from clinical disciplines. In line through prior advisory authority by the RUM Coordination Group of the MoH in 2020, a National RUM Action Plan created by the Rational Use of Medicines Department of the Pakistan Medicines and Medical Devices Agency preferred that implementation of our current framework be compulsory by law throughout all medical faculties. The majority of the hundreds of subjects included in action plan are actions that are directly connected to CP and are meant to enhance RUM. In our current situation, health authority continues to carry out financially viable treatments, including postgraduate courses in reasonable pharmacotherapy for general practitioners, education programs, and monitoring initiatives, to promote more rational use of antibiotics, medications for the respiratory system, and other top-priority medications. The irrational usage of medications in Pakistan is a major issue. The Rational Use of Medicines Section supports different infrastructure advancements while

also producing e-bulletins, creating public television commercials, and doing other continuing efforts to achieve national RUM integration. This initiative intended to encourage a range of initiatives that enhance RUM, such as the enhancement of medication use throughout the geriatric population, the generation of national pharmacoepidemiologic reports premised on repayment information, and development of specialized educational resources including all health sciences students, clinicians, and laypersons in the neighborhood. In Pakistan, medical students take courses on medication usage in certain groups, including elderly or pediatric individuals, pregnant or nursing women, and individuals who have renal or liver disease. Additionally, the modules could address medication combinations alone or as a component of courses on rational pharmacotherapy. These modules include several that are also a part of postgraduate ongoing education. Standardization is necessary to increase efficiency since teaching techniques and subject matter might differ among departments. The additional CP-related concerns, just like pharmacovigilance, medical drug tribunals, pharmacoeconomic analysis, medical usage of conservative or herbal medications, etc., have a similar necessity. The best strategy for CP education, however, remains to tackle issue as the subject of ongoing medical education. In just the current regard, information and abilities acquired while in college may represent one of the key factors of future activity. Those CPd subsections hold educational events, with a focus on drug safety, Good Clinical Practice, drug testing, and pharma genetics, for the benefit of relevant academy participants, health agencies, drug company participants, and associated nonprofit organizations. The majority of the instructors for those activities remain CPOPs, who create instructional materials and instruct their coworkers in addition to additional participants using media tools, group discussions, interactive sessions, in-person events, and, more frequently, online educational techniques. Because of its dynamic nature, the pharmaceutical sector has to be as well-versed in current CP as possible. CP-focused specialists can create documents, teach industry workers, or provide courses in industry-focused conferences, and these sessions are often held in-house or by a third party.

Table 1:

	IHA	NHA	VIHA	Total
CDE, per operation 2014	161 kg CO2	94 kg CO2	103 kg CO2	358 kg CO2
CDE, per operation 2016	76 kg CO2	35 kg CO2	41 kg CO2	152 kg CO2
Relative Difference	86 %	64%	62%	60%
Absolute Reduction	62 kg CO2	58 kg CO2	63 kg CO2	61 kg CO2

Table 2:

Year	Volume Deploring	Volume sevoflurane
------	------------------	--------------------

	IHA	NHA	VIHA	IHA	NHA	VIHA
2014	584	882	119	415	226	434
2015	514	648	131	350	240	456
2016	324	785	115	317	264	416
2017	319	676	90	437	336	403
2018	228	561	115	447	308	402
2019	265	490	56	452	350	451
2020	235	419	58	493	415	447

DISCUSSION:

Most nations still struggle with funding health care, which is often an expensive sector of public service. The Social Security Corporation, Pakistan's only governmental agency that pays for most people's other medical expenditures as well as their medication or therapy-related ones, provides universal health insurance that is required by law [21]. Everyone individuals should have the opportunity to obtain universal health care, particularly those who are socioeconomically deprived, according to the Social Security Institution [22]. However, it may be difficult to actualize and sustain such coverage in a nation with over 82 million people [23-26]. The facility makes use of a Health Practice Declaration that details the specific terms of drug reimbursements and other treatment-related matters and seems to be routinely maintained. One of the key elements ensuring the country's health services continue to operate effectively is the declaration [27]. The institution works among several public bodies, professional associations, faculty and staff, and/or specialists to determine the extent of public medication pricing and discounts and audit various pertinent services [28]. A few of these operations include CPOPs working as internal officials or advisers. Pharmacoeconomic evaluation is an important CP service in this area that health authorities or business stakeholders utilize to address concerns with price and financing [29].

Pregnant women, infants, patients with several medical illnesses, and patients who require polypharmacy could all require more complex pharmacotherapy than is typically provided. This medical service offers drug technology solutions including those on medication or drug-food interactions, dose changes for individuals who have organ failure, off-label drug usage, teratology information service, and others [30]. Those services were predominantly offered through CPOPs in universities also sizable public hospitals throughout the past ten years [31-33]. Despite the increased number of clients, doctors, in addition other care providers who are seeking this service from pharmacologists, there are many presently currently explicitly matching values set in the payment service. Nevertheless, because of incorrect strategies used by payment and health authorities, pharmacologists have also been reluctant to put this process into practice [34]. Thus, the advancement of CP has already been severely hindered, preventing individuals from receiving a good post-analytical report and leading pharmacologists to worry about passing that aspect of their professional competency to others [35]. As a result, the lack of the advantages of the

fundamental functions of CPOP's reliable interpretations, effective use of information for pharmacotherapy, and prevention of superfluous or unneeded assessments is a significant issue that has to be resolved [36]. Additionally, CPOPs' limited participation in diagnostic services also somewhat limits their prospective interest in pharmacogenetic concerns. An additional barrier to the development of pharmacogenetics is the relatively recent field of genetics, which has not cooperated alongside or even contested through pharmacology or pharmacogenetics enough in the past. Given the promising future of the current field, that contains personalized treatment modalities, drug progress or posology readjustment for unique individuals to particular genetic traits that may affect fate of drug in the body, or pharmacogenetic-based adverse drug events danger analysis, continued to improve collaboration among these disciplines is required [37]. Forensic medicine and CPOPs collaborate closely to evaluate drug analysis results. CPOPs may indeed be determined by analyzing, evaluating, or documenting restricted chemicals or narcotics also its mismanagement, overuse, or compulsion possibilities in pertinent units of additional healthcare organizations in addition to functioning by way of officers or consultants of forensic medical institutions [38]. As could be shown from the example of Mayo Hospital, CPOPs employed by a number of major hospitals' drug control departments may help the department run more effectively. They complement standard hospital pharmacy services in this setting and improve inpatients' logical, integrative, simple, and organized medication access [39]. The option for feasibility of innovative drug testing, more precise evaluations, and increased effectiveness of pharmacovigilance activities and pharmaco-economic news stories, talking requirements for advancements, also sharing of information of RUM performs are some examples among those contributions. They also include larger population sizes to commensurate malady diversity [40]. Pharmacoepidemiology and/or drug usage, in addition to being a post-marketing drug research topic, may thus have a significant positive impact on too many elements of standard CP services. Pharmacoepidemiology intends to: (1) analyze viability of novel therapy parts for drugs; (2) start providing a correct assessment of things like drug patient compliance also each drug's rational precautions; (3) start providing effective achievement and perception of altogether pharmaco-economic evaluation also reporting actions to remain employed through health or remuneration authority or industry; (4) evaluate and plan only those local also extensive RUM-focused operations; and (5) try to assess effectiveness of the various interventions [41]. The first stages of pharmacoepidemiology also began once rational pharmacotherapy training was introduced to Pakistan. In Turkey, pharmacoepidemiology is a particular area of study. An upsurge in Turkish pharmacoepidemiologic research may have direct or indirect effects on CP [42]. By cooperating with pharmacovigilance contact points set up at large-capacity hospitals, CPOPs also assist in enhancing pharmacovigilance efforts, including enabling adverse drug interaction reporting processes. The CPOPs responsible for RUM has different task. These experts participate across all planning and educational initiatives at hospitals, healthcare facilities, or primary healthcare heads of departments in accordance with TMMDA's implementation plan to spread RUM [43].

CONCLUSION:

However, its practitioners do not yet have a formal title, or understanding of CP and the quality of the work generated in this field appears to be on par with those in industrialized nations in Pakistan. However, given the rising global demand for CP, its development appears to be proceeding considerably more slowly than anticipated, especially with improved clarity of the discipline's official standing. In fact, it is anticipated that the development and acknowledgment of CP as a distinct functional discipline will dispel any ambiguity regarding its ideas and scope of application. Through this procedure, CP will remain able to offer better teaching, research, and regular medical care services through its subsections. As a result, CP will remain clever to offer CP-focused schooling for altogether pharmaceutical-related therapists at undergraduate also graduate levels, enable the effective accomplishment of research-related objectives by implementing new trial design concepts and more advanced information collection and analysis methodologies to encounter increasing requirements of the field, and assist in improving healthcare services through providing the CP-focused perspective when studying information also informing altogether stakeholders involved. If past efforts and difficult circumstances of nations with good CP results could be used as a benchmark, Pakistan and comparable countries that travel through difficult CP developmental routes are projected to advance more quickly. In reality, greater RUM is the end goal of all efforts made by healthcare institutions in practically every nation addressing pharmaceuticals.

REFERENCES:

1. Akcan, R., & Atalay Kabasakal, K. (2019). An investigation of item bias of English test: The case of 2016 year undergraduate placement exam in Turkey. *International Journal of Assessment Tools in Education*, 6(1), 48-62. <https://doi.org/10.21449/ijate.508581>
2. Allaouf, A., Hambleton, R., & Sireci, S. (2019). Identifying the causes of translation DIF on verbal items. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 36(3), 185-198. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1435153>
3. American Educational Research Association. (2018). *Standards for educational and psychological testing*. American Educational Research Association.
4. Assessment, Selection and Placement Center [Ölçme Seçme ve Yerleştirme Merkezi, ÖSYM]. (2017). 2017 Tıpta Uzmanlık Eğitimi Giriş Sınavı başvuru kılavuzu. Retrieved from: <https://dokuman.osym.gov.tr/pdfdokuman/2017/TUSILKBAHAR/BASVURUKILAVUZU26042017.pdf>
5. Bakan Kalaycıoğlu, D. (2020). Changes in physicians' specialization preferences from 1987 to 2017. *Tıp Eğitimi Dünyası*, 19(59), 157-170. <https://doi.org/10.25282/ted.696179>
6. Bakan Kalaycıoğlu, D., & Berberoğlu, G. (2011). Differential item functioning analysis of the science and mathematics items in the university entrance examinations in Turkey. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 29(5), 467-478. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0734282910391623>

7. Berrío, Á. I., Gomez-Benito, J., & Arias-Patiño, E. M. (2020). Developments and trends in research on methods of detecting differential item functioning. *Educational Research Review*, 31, 100340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100340>
8. Browne, M. W., & Cudeck, R. (1993). Alternative ways of assessing model fit. In K. A. Bollen & J. S. Long (Eds.), *Testing structural equation models* (pp. 136-162). Sage.
9. Camilli, G., & Shepard, L.A. (1994). *Methods for identifying biased test items*. Sage Publications.
10. Clauser, B. E., Nungester, R. J., Mazor, K., & Ripkey, D. (1996a). A comparison of alternative matching strategies for DIF detection in tests that are multidimensional. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 33(2), 202-214. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3984.1996.tb00489.x>
11. Clauser, B. E., Nungester, R. J., & Swaminathan, H. (1996b). Improving the matching for DIF analysis by conditioning on both test score and an educational background variable. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 33(4), 453-464. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3984.1996.tb00501.x>
12. Crane, P. K., Belle, G. van, & Larson, E. B. (2004). Test bias in a cognitive test: Differential item functioning in the CASI. *Statistics in Medicine*, 23(2), 241-256. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1713>
13. Çelik, M., & Özer Özkan, Y. (2020). Analysis of differential item functioning of PISA 2015 mathematics subtest subject to gender and statistical regions. *Journal of Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology*, 11(3), 283-301. <https://doi.org/10.21031/epod.715020>
14. Çepni, Z., & Kelecioğlu, H. (2021). Detecting differential item functioning using SIBTEST, MH, LR and IRT methods. *Journal of Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology*, 12(3), 267-285. <https://doi.org/10.21031/epod.988879>
15. Diaz, E., Brooks, G., & Johanson, G. (2021). Detecting differential item functioning: Item Response Theory methods versus the Mantel-Haenszel procedure. *International Journal of Assessment Tools in Education*, 8(2), 376-393. <https://doi.org/10.21449/ijate.730141>
16. Dorans, N. J., & Holland, P. W. (1992). *DIF detection and description: Mantel-Haenszel and standardization (Research Report 92-10)*. Educational Testing Service.
17. Downing, S. M. (2002). Threats to the validity of locally developed multiple-choice tests in medical education: Construct-irrelevant variance and construct underrepresentation. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 7(3), 235-241. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021112514626>
18. Downing, S. M., & Yudkowsky, R. (2009). Introduction to assessment in the health professions. In *Assessment in health professions education* (pp. 21-40). Routledge.
19. Edelen, M. O., Thissen, D., Teresi, J. A., Kleinman, M., & Ocepek-Welikson, K. (2006). Identification of differential item functioning using item response theory and the likelihood-based model comparison approach: Application to the Mini-Mental State Examination. *Medical Care*, 44(11), 134-142. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mlr.0000245251.83359.8c>

20. Finch, H. (2005). The MIMIC model as a method for detecting DIF: Comparison with Mantel-Haenszel, SIBTEST, and the IRT likelihood ratio. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 29(4), 278-295. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146621605275728>
21. Finch, W. H., & French, B. F. (2007). Detection of crossing differential item functioning: A comparison of four methods. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 67(4), 565-582. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164406296975>
22. Gomez-Benito, J., & Navas-Ara, M. J. (2020). A comparison of χ^2 , RFA and IRT based procedures in the detection of DIF. *Quality and Quantity*, 34(1), 17-31. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004703709442>
23. Grover, R. K., & Ercikan, K. (2017). For which boys and which girls are reading assessment items biased against? Detection of differential item functioning in heterogeneous gender populations. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 30(3), 178-195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08957347.2017.1316276>
24. Guilera, G., Gómez-Benito, J., Hidalgo, M. D., & Sánchez-Meca, J. (2013). Type I error and statistical power of the Mantel-Haenszel procedure for detecting DIF: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Methods*, 18(4), 553-571. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/a0034306>
25. Güler, N., & Penfield, R. D. (2009). A comparison of the logistic regression and contingency table methods for simultaneous detection of uniform and nonuniform DIF. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 46(3), 314-329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3984.2009.00083.x>
26. Hambleton, R. K. (2006). Good practices for identifying differential item functioning. *Medical Care*, 44(11), 182-188. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mlr.0000245443.86671.c4>
27. Hidalgo, M. D., & Lopez-Pina, J. A. (2004). Differential item functioning detection and effect size: A comparison between logistic regression and Mantel-Haenszel procedures. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 64(6), 903-915. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0013164403261769>
28. Holland, P. W., & Thayer, D. T. (1986, April 16-20). Differential item performance and the Mantel-Haenszel procedure [Paper presentation]. 67th Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA.
29. Hope, D., Adamson, K., McManus, I. C., Chis, L., & Elder, A. (2018). Using differential item functioning to evaluate potential bias in a high stakes postgraduate knowledge based assessment. *BMC Medical Education*, 18, 64. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-018-1143-0>
30. Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1998). Fit indices in covariance structure modeling: Sensitivity to underparameterized model misspecification. *Psychological Methods*, 3(4), 424-453. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.3.4.424>
31. Jodoin, M. G., & Gierl, M. J. (2001). Evaluating type I error and power rates using an effect size measure with the logistic regression procedure for DIF detection. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 14(4), 329-349. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15324818AME1404_2

32. Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (1993). LISREL 8: Structural equation modeling with the SIMPLIS command language. Scientific Software International Inc.
33. Kelly, S., & Dennick, R. (2019). Evidence of gender bias in true-false-abstain medical examinations. *BMC Medical Education*, 9(1), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-9-32>
34. Khorramdel, L., Pokropek, A., Joo, S. H., Kirsch, I., & Halderman, L. (2020). Examining gender DIF and gender differences in the PISA 2018 reading literacy scale: A partial invariance approach. *Psychological Test and Assessment Modeling*, 62(2), 179-231.
35. Kıbrıslıoğlu Uysal, N., & Atalay Kabasakal, K. (2017). The effect of background variables on gender related differential item functioning. *Journal of Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology*, 8(4), 373-390. <https://doi.org/10.21031/epod.333451>
36. MacIntosh, R., & Hashim, S. (2003). Variance estimation for converting MIMIC model parameters to IRT parameters in DIF analysis. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 27(5), 372-379. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146621603256021>
37. Marsh, H. W., Hau, K. T., & Wen, Z. (2004). In search of golden rules: Comment on hypothesis-testing approaches to setting cutoff values for fit indexes and dangers in overgeneralizing Hu and Bentler's (1999) findings. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 11(3), 320-341. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1103_2
38. Muthen, B. O. (1988). Some uses of structural equation modeling validity studies: Extending IRT to external variables. In H. Wainer & H. Braun (Eds.), *Test validity* (pp. 213-238). Lawrence Erlbaum.
39. Narayanan, P., & Swaminathan, H. (1996). Identification of items that show nonuniform DIF. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 20(3), 257-274. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014662169602000306>
40. Oort, F. J. (1992). Using restricted factor analysis to detect item bias. *Methodika*, 6(2), 150-166.
41. Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2010). *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling* (3rd ed.). Taylor and Francis Group.
42. Shepard, L. A. (1982). Definitions of bias. In R. A. Berk (Ed.), *Handbook of methods for detecting test bias* (pp. 9-30). John Hopkins University Press.
43. Sunderland, M., Mewton, L., Slade, T., & Baillie, A. J. (2019). Investigating differential symptom profiles in major depressive episode with and without generalized anxiety disorder: True co-morbidity or symptom similarity? *Psychological Medicine*, 40(7), 1113-1123. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291709991590>