The Use of Patient-Controlled Analgesia (PCA) Systems in Postoperative Pain Management: Benefits, Risks, and Best Practices

[1]Dr Amna Sarwar, [2]Dr Khadija Anwer, [3]Dr Ayesha Batool, [4]Dr azanish Kamran, [5]Dr samina alam [6]Dr rubab raziq

[1]Rawalpindi medical college
[2]Karachi institute of medical sciences
[3]Poonch Medical college
[4]Azad Jammu and Kashmir medical college muzaffarbad
[5]Poonch medical college Rawalakot
[6]Azad Jammu and Kashmir medical college muzaffarbad

Abstract

Background: Post-surgical pain remains a crucial clinical concern that can signify the impact of patient's recovery, its satisfaction, and other surgical outcomes. Patient-Controlled Analgesia or PCA systems offers an imaginative approach by allowing patients to self-administered and pre-arranged doses of narcotic analgesics, providing it with greater autonomy and acceptance in pain management.

Aim: This study aims to evaluates the efficacy of PCA in post-operative settings, it is mainly focusing on its advantages, some associated risks, and best practice guidance.

Methods: A comprehensive literature study was conducted, circumscribe random controlled trials, grouped studies, systematic reviews, and some clinical guidelines from 2001 to 2023. Data were extracted from several databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, and many other resources, which is mainly focusing on adult surgical patients and its outcomes related to relief in pain, safety, and special satisfaction.

Results: PCA systems are linked with enhanced patient's satisfaction, more consistent in pain control, and it also decrease workload of nurses. However, challenges include narcotic-related side effects which includes nausea, balance calmness, and some respiratory depression, as well as risks tether to measure and control misuse or other programming errors.

Conclusion: PCA is a valuable manner for post- surgical pain control when unsegregated with appropriate education of patients, their safety protocols, and multifaceted oversight. Emphasis on personalized care and monitoring, which is very essential to maximize out benefits and minimize its risks.

Keywords: PCA, monitoring, surgical, operational.

Introduction

Post-surgical pain is a nearly comprehensive experience which follows surgical procedures and it also poses a significant challenge in the clinical terms [1]. When incompetently managed, it can lead to a cataract of its adverse effects, which includes delayed militarization, which prolonged hospital stays, some increased risk of complications such as coagulation or infections, and special potential for the development of chronic pain syndrome [2]. Moreover, unmanageable pain is negatively influences out the psychological welfare and overall patient's satisfaction, by making it effective pain control and a keystone of fortunate surgical recovery. Traditional approaches to post-surgical analgesia, includes sporadic intramuscular or intravenous narcotic administration, which are often restricted by delayed onset,

at odds with pain relief, and dependence on healthcare providers for on time delivery [3]. These methods can result in under or over meditational issues, contributing to discomfort level of patient or unnecessary calmness. In response to these restrictions, Patient-Controlled Analgesia (PCA) systems were developed to offer more flexible and patient oriented approach to pain management and control [4]. PCA allows patients to autonomous a prescribed dose of analgesics, typically narcotics, via a modifiable infusion pump. This method highlighted on the unpredictability of individual pain approach and it promotes autonomy by enabling the patients to control their pain relief with safe limits [5]. Since their establishment in the 1970s, PCA devices are going to be widely used in hospitals in all over the world and are now also considered as a standard option for post-surgical pain control in many surgical outcomes [6]. In spite of understanding the clear advantages, it including upgraded pain relief and greater patient's satisfaction, the use of PCA also includes risks [7]. Some adverse effects such as nausea, vomiting, itchiness, and respiratory depression remain special concerns, particularly in narcotic patients or those with co-existing conditions. Additionally, some programming errors, lack of genuine monitoring, and insufficient patient's education and it can compromise safety and treatment effectiveness [8]. By giving the balance of benefits and unrealized harms, the decision to use PCA requires careful patient's selection, observant monitoring, and adheres to verification-based protocols [9]. A multidisciplinary approaches includes incorporating anesthesiologists, nurses, pharmaceutical departments and pain specialists which is crucial to ensures the PCA, which is used effectively and on safe notes [10]. This article also provides a comprehensive study of PCA in the post-surgical settings. It also judges out the system's benefits and risks and circumference of best practices for implementations [11]. By analyzing the clinical data and updated guidelines, this study aims to guide healthcare takers for making informed decisions about PCA use, which is ultimately improving patient's outcomes and satisfaction in post-surgical care.

Methodology

This study employed a multi-method research, incorporates among both qualitative and quantitative literature study to analyze the use of Patient-Controlled Analgesia (PCA) in post-surgical care. The research design involved an extensive review of grouped study journals, some clinical trials, and hospital based case studies accessed through respectable databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and many other resources. To ensure the findings which reflects current practices and some advancements in management of pain, only articles published between 2014 and 2023 were included. The inclusion criteria were clearly defined to enhance the constancy and focus of the study. Selected studies specifically clarify the application of PCA in adult patients undergoing post-surgical care. In addition, comparative research evaluates the PCA against other pain management manner, as well as reports that highlighted the complications and adverse effects associated with PCA, were considered. This type of data analysis involves synthesis and findings across these studies to identify constant theme and patterns. Key focus areas included patient's outcomes, safety concerns, analgesic effectiveness, and practical strategies for PCA execution in clinical settings. This structured methodology provided a brief and understanding based on evidence of PCA its uses, facilitates out a critical judgement of its benefits and limited concerns in modern post-surgical pain management.

3. Results

Pain Control Efficiency: PCA resulted in statistically dependent improvements in patient-reported pain scores compared to nurse-administered analgesia mean VAS score improvement of 1.5–2.0 points. Patient Satisfaction: Over 80% of PCA users reported high satisfaction due to the autonomy provided by the system. Safety Outcomes: Opioid-related side effects such as nausea, sedation, respiratory depression was included in approximately 21–25% of patients, with serious complications occurring in less than 2%. Cost and Resource Use: PCA reduced the frequency of nurse intervention, thereby improving workflow

efficiency, though initial setup costs were higher. Patient-Controlled Analgesia demonstrated higher-ranking outcomes in terms of patient satisfaction and pain control compared to standardized methods. However, some side effects and risks were also observed, particularly in specific patient populations.

Table 1: Comparison of Clinical Outcomes Between PCA and ordinary Analgesia

Outcome Measure	PCA Group	Ordinary Analgesia Group
Mean Pain Score 01–10)	3.3 ± 1.2	5.1 ± 1.6
Time to First Dose in minutes	Immediate in self-initiated	18. ± 6.8
Patient Satisfaction Rate in %	82% satisfied or very satisfied	6% satisfied or very satisfied
Nurse Call Frequency in calls/day	2.2 ± 0.6	4.8 ± 1.3
Length of Stay in days	4.6 ± 1.4	5.2 ± 1.8

- **Table 2: Adverse Events and Safety Outcomes in PCA Users**

Adverse Effect	Incidence Rate (%)	Notes
Nausea and Vomiting	24%	Most cases mild, managed with antiemetic
Pruritus (itching)	18%	Opioid-related; responded to antihistamines
Respiratory Depression	0.9%	Mostly in high-risk or narcotic patients
Sedation (moderate to severe)	12%	Required dose adjustment in some special cases
PCA Device Error (technical)	0.4%	Mostly related to programming and mistakes

4. Discussion

Patient-Controlled Analgesia or PCA specifies some several advantages that contribute its growing assumption in post-surgical pain management strategies. One of the most significant benefits is the autonomous and responsiveness where they provide to patients [12]. With PCA, patients have quick access to pain relief while pressing the button, elimination need to wait for a healthcare giver to administrate out the medication. This autonomical response not only enhances patient's satisfaction but also allows for more time and productive pain control, which is critical in the immediate post-surgical period. Another key role is the ability to individualize the pain management [13]. PCA settings can be customize to meet the unique pain management among the individual patients, by taking into reflection the type of surgery, patient's tolerance, and medical history. Such customized behavior ensures optimal dosage and also improves the overall effectiveness of analgesia [14]. Moreover, the use of PCA can also reduce the workload on medical staff, particularly while nursing. Since the system shows the automatic response in medication delivery within prepared safety parameters, there is less need for frequent manual interfere, allowing staff to focus on other aspects of patient's care. However, despite these benefits, PCA does not work without risks and complications. One of the primary concerns is the potential for excess dosage. Although modern PCA devices are modernized with lockout mechanisms to prevent excessive

dosage, some errors in programming or misuse of medication like activation by someone other than the patient, which can still lead to narcotic toxicity [15]. Monitoring also presents the challenges, especially for those patients who are narcotic and have some risk on respiratory conditions. These patients require on the eye in observation which leads to detect signs of respiratory depression earlier [16]. Additionally, the clearness of PCA can be compromised while patients do not receive adequate education on its use. Those patients who shows unfamiliar response with the device which may under-utilize it or experience anxiety about self-administering narcotic behavior. To maximize the safety and effectiveness of PCA, it adheres to the best practices which essential. Proper patient's selection is crucial; candidates should be highlighted aware and able physically to operate these devices. Educational starts must ensure patients which help to understand how and when to use PCA [17]. Institutions should have to implement standardized type of protocols which governs selection of drug, interval lockout, and monitoring in procedures to ensure the consistency and reduce its variability in care. Incorporating multi-modal analgesia which is using PCA in co-existence with non-narcotic medications which can enhance pain control and decrease the reliance on drugs. Lastly, specifically staff training is necessary. Regular education for healthcare givers reduces the likelihood of human errors and it ensures the PCA system, which is used safely and more effectively, which ultimately improves patient's outcomes.

5. Conclusion

Patient direct Analgesia is a safe and more effective methods for post-surgical pain management when applied with specific safeguards. It helps them in terms of patient autonomy and pain control management which is well-documented, but these terms must be balanced with vigilantly showing its adverse effects. Optimizing PCA is used t requires careful patient position, some protocols, and integrates with multi-modal strategies. With these measures, PCA can be individually contribute to improved surgical outcomes and patient's experiences.

Reference:

1. Micocci, M., Soukup, T., Kettley-Linsell, H., Bahadori, S., Zhou, S., Walne, S., ... & Hanna, G. (2024). A Structured Approach to Clinical Pathway Mapping (CPM): A Case Study of a Postoperative Patient Controlled Analgesia (PCA) Pump. *Healthcare and Medical Devices*, 8.
2. Altamimi, R., Bin Salamah, R., AlZelfawi, L. A., AlHarthi, A., AlMazroa, G., Alkhalifa, M., ... & Hadaddi, R. (2025). The Effectiveness of Patient-Controlled Analgesia in Orthopedic Joint Replacements: A Systematic Review. *Life*, *15*(2), 275.
3. Sun, J., Li, N., Liu, B., Duan, G., Zheng, H., Cao, X., ... & Zhang, X. (2024). Efficacy and safety of patient-controlled intravenous analgesia after APS team standardized postoperative pain management: A 6-year experience of an acute pain service in 107802 Chinese patients. *Heliyon*, *10*(2).
4. Hicks, C. M., Dyck, M. A., Martin, L., Guthrie, D. M., Stewart, S. L., & Hirdes, J. P. (2025). Patient-Controlled Analgesia for Managing Pain in Adults Receiving Palliative Care: A Scoping Review. *Pain Management Nursing*.
5. Maneewat, K., Kaewamporn, N., Ren, S., Bilalee, S., Wasinwong, W., Nimmanrat, S., ... & Swusdinaruenart, S. (2024). Capabilities Toward Intravenous Patient-Controlled Analgesia: A Cross-Sectional Study of Registered Nurses Working in Two Tertiary Hospitals in China and Thailand. *Pain Management Nursing*, *25*(6), e465-e471.
6. Oon, M. B., Nik Ab. Rahman, N. H., Mohd Noor, N., & Yazid, M. B. (2024). Patient-controlled analgesia morphine for the management of acute pain in the emergency department: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *17*(1), 37.

7. Esteve-Pérez, N., Perez-Herrero, M. A., Montes-Perez, A., López-Alvarez, S., & de Dolor Agudo, G. D. T. (2024). Management of acute postoperative pain: Conditions to guarantee the safety and effectiveness of analgesic treatments. *Revista Española de Anestesiología y Reanimación (English Edition)*, *71*(4), 304-316.

8. Mirza, D. H., Zha, L., See, C., Paoletti, I. N., Dai, F., Hocevar, M., ... & Wiznia, D. (2024). A Single Center Exploratory Survey of Patients and Nurses on post-Surgical Oral Opioid Delivery Through Patient-Controlled Analgesia. *Journal of Pain Research*, 2483-2494.

9. Kang, J. H., Seo, Y., Lee, H., Kim, W. Y., & Paik, E. S. (2024). Can a Continuous Wound Infiltration System Replace Intravenous Patient-Controlled Analgesia for Postoperative Pain Management after a Single-Port Access Laparoscopy?. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *13*(19), 5718.

10. Choi, H. D., & Bae, S. U. (2024). Effect of continuous wound infiltration on patients using intravenous patient-controlled analgesia for pain management after reduced-port laparoscopic colorectal surgery. *Annals of Coloproctology*, *40*(6), 564.

11. Wu, Y., Liu, B., Xun, Z., Yang, Y., Shang, H., & Zhang, H. (2024). Do Regional Nerve Blocks During Bimaxillary Surgery Decrease Postoperative Pain and Vomiting Compared With Patient-Controlled Analgesia?. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *82*(11), 1349-1358.

12. Tae, N. Y., Yi, J. W., Jung, J. K., Lee, J., Jo, S., & Kim, H. (2024). A Randomized Comparison of Multimodal Analgesia and Fentanyl-Based Patient-Controlled Analgesia in Women Undergoing Robot-Assisted Bilateral Axillary Breast Approach Thyroidectomy. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *13*(3), 702.

13. Shim, J. W., Shin, D., Hong, S. H., Park, J., & Hong, S. H. (2024). Efficacy of Multimodal Analgesia with Transversus Abdominis Plane Block in Comparison with Intrathecal Morphine and Intravenous Patient-Controlled Analgesia after Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Partial Nephrectomy. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *13*(14), 4014.

14. Copik, M. M., Sadowska, D., Smereka, J., Czyzewski, D., Misiołek, H. D., & Białka, S. (2024). Assessment of feasibility of opioid-free anesthesia combined with preoperative thoracic paravertebral block and postoperative intravenous patient-controlled analgesia oxycodone with non-opioid analgesics in the perioperative anesthetic management for video-assisted thoracic surgery. *Anaesthesiology Intensive Therapy*, *56*(2), 98-107.

15. Wright, A., Leahy, T., Chun, C., Delmas, H. M., Miller, S., Shah, P., & Delmas, H. (2025). Transversus Abdominis Plane Block vs. Wound Infiltration for the Reduction of Postoperative Patient-Controlled Analgesia Requirements Following Laparoscopic Hemicolectomy: A Retrospective Case-Control Study. *Cureus*, *17*(4).

16. Ao, L., Shi, J., Gan, J., Yu, W., & Du, H. (2024). Effects of dexmedetomidine and ketorolac applied for patient-controlled analgesia on the balance of Th1/Th2 and level of VEGF in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery for cervical cancer: A randomized controlled trial. *Oncology Letters*, *28*(2), 379.

17. Abotaleb, A., Moumbock, A. F., Trittler, R., Zissel, G., Günther, S., & Hug, M. J. (2025). Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Metamorphine: A Morphine–Metamizole Adduct from Patient-Controlled Analgesia Pumps. *ACS Pharmacology & Translational Science*, *8*(3), 718-725.