

Comparative Analysis of Surgical Outcomes and Patient Recovery: Harmonic Scalpel Surgery versus Conventional Knot Tying in Thyroidectomy Procedures

¹Dr Aleesha Asim, ²Dr Arisha ashiq, ³Dr Anum Batool, ⁴Dr Shanza asif, ⁵Dr Amna Shafique, ⁶Dr. Rimsha Basharat

¹Bahria University, Medical and Dental College, Karachi.

²Fatima Jinnah medical university Lahore.

³Al nafees medical college Islamabad.

⁴Fatima Jinnah medical university Lahore

⁵Bahria University, Medical and Dental College, Karachi.

⁶Ayub medical college Abbottabad

ABSTRACT:

Background: Thyroidectomy procedures are commonly performed surgeries for various thyroid disorders. Traditional knot tying techniques have been the standard for hemostasis during thyroidectomy. However, the harmonic scalpel, an advanced surgical tool, has gained popularity due to its potential benefits in reducing operative time, blood loss, and postoperative complications. The current research intended to relate surgical outcomes and patient recovery between harmonic scalpel surgery and conventional knot tying in thyroidectomy procedures.

Aim: The main goal of our study was to led a comparative study of surgical outcomes and patient recovery following thyroidectomy procedures using harmonic scalpel surgery and conventional knot tying techniques.

Methods: A retrospective analysis was led on patients who experienced thyroidectomy procedures between March 2023 and February 2024. Individuals were divided into two groups: Group A underwent thyroidectomy using harmonic scalpel surgery, whereas Group B underwent thyroidectomy with conventional knot tying techniques. Data on operative time, intraoperative blood loss, postoperative complications, length of hospital stay, and patient recovery were collected and analyzed using appropriate statistical methods.

Results: The study included 120 patients, with 60 in Group A and 60 in Group B. The mean operative time was meaningfully shorter in Group A compared to Group B ($p < 0.05$). Intraoperative blood loss was also lower in Group A than in Group B ($p < 0.05$). Postoperative complications, like wound infection and hypocalcemia, were less frequent in Group A compared to Group B. Additionally, patients in Group A had a shorter length of hospital stay and faster recovery compared to those in Group B.

Conclusion: Harmonic scalpel surgery demonstrated superior surgical outcomes and faster patient recovery associated to conventional knot tying techniques in thyroidectomy procedures. The use of harmonic scalpel caused in shorter operative times, reduced intraoperative blood loss, fewer postoperative complications, shorter hospital stays, and quicker recovery. Therefore, harmonic scalpel surgery may be considered a beneficial alternative to conventional knot tying in thyroidectomy procedures.

Keywords: Thyroidectomy, Harmonic Scalpel, Conventional Knot Tying, Surgical Outcomes, Patient Recovery, Comparative Analysis.

INTRODUCTION:

Thyroidectomy, the surgical removal of part or all of the thyroid gland, has long been established as a cornerstone in management of various thyroid disorders, including thyroid cancer, hyperthyroidism, and large nodular goiters [1]. The evolution of surgical techniques in thyroidectomy has been marked by endeavors to minimize surgical trauma, reduce operative time, and enhance patient outcomes. Among the myriad of advancements, the introduction of the Harmonic Scalpel, an ultrasonic dissecting device, has

garnered considerable attention for its purported benefits in thyroid surgery [2]. This comparative analysis delves into the surgical outcomes and patient recovery associated with two distinct approaches: Harmonic Scalpel surgery versus conventional knot tying in thyroidectomy procedures.

Historically, thyroidectomy relied heavily on meticulous knot tying to achieve hemostasis and tissue dissection [3]. While this conventional technique has stood the test of time, it necessitates precise manipulation and dexterity, often prolonging operative time and increasing danger of problems such as bleeding and nerve injury [4]. Recognizing the need for innovation, Harmonic Scalpel emerged as a promising alternative, offering simultaneous cutting and coagulation through ultrasonic vibrations. This technology aims to streamline the surgical process, minimize tissue trauma, and expedite patient recovery [5].

The rationale behind comparing these two approaches lies in the quest for optimal surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction. While the Harmonic Scalpel boasts advantages in terms of efficiency and precision, its clinical superiority over conventional knot tying remains a subject of debate [6]. Proponents argue that Harmonic Scalpel reduces operative time, minimizes blood loss, and enhances visualization, consequently lowering the incidence of postoperative complications. Conversely, skeptics raise concerns regarding the device's cost, learning curve, and potential thermal injury to surrounding tissues [7].

To elucidate the comparative effectiveness of these techniques, a comprehensive analysis of surgical outcomes and patient recovery is imperative. Surgical outcomes encompass a spectrum of parameters, including operative time, intraoperative blood loss, postoperative complications, and length of hospital stay [8]. Additionally, patient recovery encompasses subjective experiences such as pain perception, neck mobility, and overall satisfaction with the surgical process [9]. By scrutinizing these metrics, clinicians can glean insights into the comparative efficacy and safety profiles of Harmonic Scalpel surgery versus conventional knot tying in thyroidectomy procedures.

Operative time serves as a fundamental metric in assessing surgical efficiency and resource utilization. Prolonged operative durations not only increase the risk of intraoperative complications but also contribute to patient discomfort and healthcare costs [10]. Studies evaluating the impact of the Harmonic Scalpel on operative time have yielded conflicting results, with some demonstrating significant reductions compared to conventional knot tying, while others report comparable durations [11]. Understanding the nuances of operative time is crucial in delineating the real-world implications of adopting the Harmonic Scalpel in thyroid surgery.

Intraoperative blood loss represents another pivotal parameter, with profound implications for patient safety and postoperative outcomes [12]. Excessive bleeding not only obscures the surgical field but also predisposes patients to hemodynamic instability and necessitates blood transfusions. The Harmonic Scalpel purportedly offers superior hemostasis through simultaneous cutting and coagulation, potentially mitigating the risk of intraoperative bleeding. However, rigorous comparative analyses are warranted to ascertain the clinical significance of this purported advantage [13].

Postoperative complications encompass a spectrum of adverse events, ranging from minor wound infections to life-threatening hemorrhage and recurrent laryngeal nerve injury. Comparative studies examining complication rates between Harmonic Scalpel surgery and conventional knot tying provide invaluable insights into the safety profiles of these techniques. Additionally, factors such as length of hospital stay and time to resumption of normal activities offer tangible endpoints for evaluating patient recovery and rehabilitation [14].

The pursuit of optimal surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction drives the ongoing discourse surrounding thyroidectomy techniques. The comparative analysis of Harmonic Scalpel surgery versus conventional knot tying represents the pivotal step towards evidence-based practice in thyroid surgery. By elucidating the nuanced differences in surgical outcomes and patient recovery, this study endeavors to

inform clinical decision-making and improve quality of care for patients undergoing thyroidectomy procedures [15].

METHODOLOGY:

This study aimed to compare surgical outcomes and patient recovery between two surgical techniques: Harmonic Scalpel Surgery and Conventional Knot Tying in Thyroidectomy Procedures. The methodology outlined below describes the steps taken to conduct this comparison.

Study Design:

This study utilized a retrospective comparative analysis design.

Patient data from medical records were collected and analyzed.

Selection of Participants:

Participants were selected from patients who underwent thyroidectomy procedures between April 2023 and March 2024 at Mayo Hospital, Lahore.

Inclusion criteria included patients who underwent either Harmonic Scalpel Surgery or Conventional Knot Tying technique for thyroidectomy.

Exclusion criteria involved individuals having incomplete medical records or those who underwent other concurrent surgical procedures.

Data Collection:

Relevant data were extracted from electronic medical records, including patient demographics (age, gender), preoperative characteristics (thyroid pathology, comorbidities), surgical details (operative time, blood loss), and postoperative outcomes (complications, length of hospital stay).

Data were anonymized to protect patient privacy and confidentiality.

Outcome Measures:

Primary outcome measures included:

Surgical outcomes: Operative time, estimated blood loss.

Patient recovery: Length of hospital stay, postoperative complications.

Secondary outcome measures included:

Pain scores.

Thyroid function tests post-surgery.

Statistical Analysis:

Descriptive statistics were employed to encapsulate patient demographics and baseline attributes. Continuous variables were depicted either as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or as median with interquartile range (IQR) contingent upon the distribution. Categorical variables were represented by frequencies and percentages.

Inferential statistics were performed to compare outcomes between the two surgical techniques.

The study employed either the Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables, and either the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, as deemed suitable. A significance level of $p < 0.05$ was utilized for determining statistical significance.

Ethical Considerations:

This research adhered to the guidelines set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Services Hospital, Lahore. Given the retrospective nature of the study and the utilization of anonymized data, the requirement for informed consent was waived.

Limitations:

Limitations of the study include its retrospective design, which may be subject to selection bias and confounding variables.

Generalizability may be limited to the study population and setting.

The sample size may influence statistical power of the study.

Data Analysis Software:

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 26.

Reporting:

Findings will be presented in accordance with the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines.

RESULTS:

In the comparative analysis of surgical results and patient recovery among Harmonic Scalpel Surgery and Conventional Knot Tying in thyroidectomy procedures, several key metrics were evaluated to understand the efficacy and benefits of each technique.

Table 1: Surgical Outcomes Comparison:

Outcome Measure	Harmonic Scalpel Surgery	Conventional Knot Tying
Mean Operation Time (min)	60.4 ± 7.2	76.8 ± 9.5
Intraoperative Bleeding	Low	Moderate to High
Postoperative Complications	6%	12%
Length of Hospital Stay (days)	1.5 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 1.2

Mean Operation Time: The mean operation time for Harmonic Scalpel Surgery was significantly lower at 60.4 minutes compared to 76.8 minutes for Conventional Knot Tying. This suggests that Harmonic Scalpel Surgery is more time-efficient.

Intraoperative Bleeding: Harmonic Scalpel Surgery demonstrated lower levels of intraoperative bleeding compared to Conventional Knot Tying. This can be attributed to the precision of the Harmonic Scalpel in sealing blood vessels during the procedure.

Postoperative Complications: Patients undergoing Harmonic Scalpel Surgery experienced a lower incidence of postoperative complications at 6% compared to 12% in the Conventional Knot Tying group. This indicates the relatively decreased danger of complications associated having Harmonic Scalpel Surgery.

Length of Hospital Stay: Patients who experienced Harmonic Scalpel Surgery had very substantially briefer length of hospital stay, with an average of 1.5 days associated to 3.2 days for these who underwent Conventional Knot Tying. This suggests faster recovery and discharge for patients undergoing Harmonic Scalpel Surgery.

Table 2: Patient Recovery Comparison

Recovery Measure	Harmonic Scalpel Surgery	Conventional Knot Tying
Pain Severity (1-10)	3.2 ± 0.5	5.8 ± 1.2
Time to Return to Normal Activities (days)	5.6 ± 1.3	9.8 ± 2.5
Overall Satisfaction (1-10)	9.2 ± 0.6	7.5 ± 0.8

Pain Severity: Patients who underwent Harmonic Scalpel Surgery reported lower pain severity scores having an average of 3.2 compared to 5.8 in Conventional Knot Tying group. This indicates better pain management and postoperative comfort with Harmonic Scalpel Surgery.

Time to Return to Normal Activities: Patients who underwent Harmonic Scalpel Surgery had a shorter time to return to normal activities, with an average of 5.6 days compared to 9.8 days for Conventional Knot Tying group. This suggests faster recovery and resumption of daily routines with Harmonic Scalpel Surgery.

Overall Satisfaction: Patients expressed higher overall satisfaction with Harmonic Scalpel Surgery, with a mean satisfaction score of 9.2 out of 10, compared to 7.5 out of 10 in the Conventional Knot Tying group. This reflects the perceived benefits and positive outcomes associated with Harmonic Scalpel Surgery.

DISCUSSION:

In the realm of thyroidectomy procedures, surgical techniques have evolved significantly over the years. One notable advancement is introduction of the harmonic scalpel, a tool designed to facilitate precise dissection and hemostasis [16]. Traditionally, thyroidectomy involved meticulous knot tying for hemostasis and tissue separation. However, the emergence of harmonic scalpel surgery has sparked debates regarding its efficacy and comparative outcomes in patient recovery [17]. This discussion delves into a comparative analysis of surgical results and patient recovery among harmonic scalpel surgery and conventional knot tying in thyroidectomy procedures [18].

Surgical Techniques:

Conventional knot tying in thyroidectomy procedures involves the use of surgical sutures to ligate blood vessels and secure tissue. Surgeons meticulously tie knots to achieve hemostasis and facilitate tissue dissection. This technique requires precise dexterity and skill to ensure effective closure of blood vessels and minimal tissue trauma [19].

On the other hand, harmonic scalpel surgery employs ultrasonic energy to simultaneously cut and coagulate tissue. The harmonic scalpel vibrates at a frequency that breaks molecular bonds, resulting in precise tissue dissection and hemostasis. This technique offers advantages such as reduced operative time, less tissue manipulation, and potentially faster patient recovery [20].

Comparative Analysis:

A comparative analysis of surgical results among harmonic scalpel surgery and conventional knot tying in thyroidectomy procedures reveals intriguing findings. Several studies have investigated factors such as operative time, intraoperative blood loss, postoperative complications, and length of hospital stay to assess efficacy of these techniques [21].

Operative Time:

One significant advantage of harmonic scalpel surgery is its potential to reduce operative time compared to conventional knot tying. Studies have shown that use of harmonic scalpel significantly decreases duration of surgery by facilitating faster tissue dissection and hemostasis [22]. This reduction in operative time can lead to improved efficiency in the operating room and may translate to cost savings for healthcare institutions.

Intraoperative Blood Loss:

Effective hemostasis is crucial in thyroidectomy procedures to decrease danger of worries like hematoma and hypovolemic shock [23]. While both harmonic scalpel surgery and conventional knot tying aim to achieve hemostasis, studies suggest that harmonic scalpel surgery may result in lower intraoperative blood loss. The precise cutting and coagulation capabilities of the harmonic scalpel contribute to reduced bleeding during the procedure, thereby enhancing patient safety and surgical outcomes [24].

Postoperative Complications:

The incidence of postoperative complications is a critical factor in assessing the safety and efficacy of surgical techniques. Comparative studies have evaluated the rates of complications like wound infection, recurrent laryngeal nerve injury, and hypocalcemia between harmonic scalpel surgery and conventional knot tying. While both techniques have demonstrated favorable outcomes, the evidence suggests that harmonic scalpel surgery may be associated with lower rates of certain complications, particularly wound infection and hematoma formation [25].

Length of Hospital Stay:

Patient recovery and discharge timelines play a significant role in healthcare resource utilization and patient satisfaction. Harmonic scalpel surgery has been associated with shorter hospital stays compared to

conventional knot tying in thyroidectomy procedures. The reduced tissue trauma and faster recovery associated with harmonic scalpel surgery may allow patients to return to normal activities sooner, leading to enhanced patient satisfaction and improved healthcare resource allocation.

The comparative analysis of surgical results and patient recovery among harmonic scalpel surgery and conventional knot tying in thyroidectomy procedures highlights the potential advantages of harmonic scalpel surgery in terms of operative time, intraoperative blood loss, postoperative complications, and length of hospital stay. While both techniques have demonstrated efficacy in achieving hemostasis and tissue dissection, harmonic scalpel surgery offers certain benefits that may contribute to improved surgical outcomes and patient recovery. Further research and long-term follow-up researches are warranted to validate those results and optimize surgical techniques in thyroidectomy procedures.

CONCLUSION:

The comparative analysis of surgical results and patient recovery among Harmonic Scalpel Surgery and Conventional Knot Tying in Thyroidectomy Procedures revealed notable distinctions. The Harmonic Scalpel demonstrated advantages in reducing operative time, blood loss, and postoperative pain associated to Conventional Knot Tying. Furthermore, patients undergoing Harmonic Scalpel Surgery exhibited faster recovery rates and shorter hospital stays. These findings underscore the efficacy and benefits of adopting Harmonic Scalpel technology in thyroidectomy procedures, offering surgeons and patients alike a promising alternative for improved surgical outcomes and enhanced recovery experiences.

REFERENCES:

1. Mehmood B, Nwaz T, Khan MA, Javaid MU, Nadar A, Manzoor S. Comparison of Complications of Harmonic Scalpel Versus Conventional Hemostasis in Patients Undergoing Near-Total Thyroidectomy at a Tertiary Care Hospital. *Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences*. 2022 Oct 16;16(08):726-
2. Lombardi CP, Raffaelli M, Cicchetti A, Marchetti M, De Crea C, Di Bidino R, Oragano L, Bellantone R. The use of “harmonic scalpel” versus “knot tying” for conventional “open” thyroidectomy: results of a prospective randomized study. *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery*. 2008 Sep;393:627-31.
3. Siperstein AE, Berber E, Morkoyun E. The use of the harmonic scalpel vs conventional knot tying for vessel ligation in thyroid surgery. *Archives of Surgery*. 2002 Feb 1;137(2):137-42.
4. Zanghi A, Cavallaro A, Di Vita M, Cardì F, Di Mattia P, Piccolo G, Barbera G, Urso M, Cappellani A. The safety of the Harmonic® FOCUS in open thyroidectomy: a prospective, randomized study comparing the Harmonic® FOCUS and traditional suture ligation (knot and tie) technique. *International Journal of Surgery*. 2014 Aug 1;12:S132-5.
5. Ecker T, Carvalho AL, Choe JH, Walosek G, Preuss KJ. Hemostasis in thyroid surgery: harmonic scalpel versus other techniques—a meta-analysis. *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*. 2010 Jul;143(1):17-25.
6. Luo Y, Li X, Dong J, Sun W. A comparison of surgical outcomes and complications between hemostatic devices for thyroid surgery: a network meta-analysis. *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology*. 2017 Mar;274:1269-78.
7. Cannizzaro MA, Borzì L, Lo Bianco S, Okatyeva V, Cavallaro A, Buffone A. Comparison between Focus Harmonic scalpel and other hemostatic techniques in open thyroidectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Head & neck*. 2016 Oct;38(10):1571-8.
8. Melck AL, Wiseman SM. Harmonic scalpel compared to conventional hemostasis in thyroid surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *International journal of surgical oncology*. 2010 Jan 1;2010.
9. Yener O, Demir M, Yılmaz A, Yığıtbaşı R, Atak T. Harmonic scalpel compared to conventional hemostasis in thyroid surgery. *Indian Journal of Surgery*. 2014 Feb;76:66-9.

10. Peker K, ÖZCAN AT, Şahin M, İnal A, Kilic K, Özçiçek F. A comparison of total thyroidectomies carried out through LigaSure and Harmonic Scalpel: a retrospective study. *Turkish journal of medical sciences*. 2014;44(2):255-60.
11. Mehmood B, Nwaz T, Khan MA, Javaid MU, Nadar A, Manzoor S. Comparison of Complications of Harmonic Scalpel Versus Conventional Homeostasis in Patients Undergoing Near-Total Thyroidectomy at a Tertiary Care Hospital. *Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences*. 2022 Oct 16;16(08):726-.
12. Saeed MA, Mohamed MM, Roshdy MM. Comparative study between Harmonic scalpel, bipolar forceps Diathermy and Classic suture ligation in total thyroidectomy. *Al-Azhar International Medical Journal*. 2023;4(3):29.
13. Chavez KV, Barajas EM, Ramírez J, Pantoja JP, Sierra M, Velázquez-Fernandez D, Herrera MF. Comparative analysis between a bipolar vessel sealing and cutting device and the tie and suture technique in thyroidectomy: A randomized clinical trial. *Surgery*. 2017 Feb 1;161(2):477-84.
14. Nasir M, Iqbal MS, Ahmad S. Comparison of the Outcome of Thyroidectomy Using Ultrasonic Dissector with Conventional Knot Tying Technique. *Annals of Punjab Medical College*. 2017 Mar 8;11(2):105-9.
15. Ciftci F. Differences in thyroidectomy outcomes based on surgical method: A comparison of LigaSure precise, harmonic focus, and traditional methods. *International Surgery*. 2016 Mar 1;101(3-4):121-6.
16. Saloom AK, AbdulJabbar MQ. Comparative study between Harmonic FOCUSTM to clamp and tie technique for total thyroidectomy. *Journal of the Faculty of Medicine Baghdad*. 2016 Oct 2;58(3):241-4.
17. Arora RD, Prajwal SD, Rao KN, Singh A, Nagarkar NM, Abishek AP. A Comparison of Harmonic Scalpel and Conventional Techniques for Thyroidectomy. *Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery*. 2023 Dec;75(4):3410-4.
18. Roshdy MM, Saeed MA, Mohamed MM. Comparative Study Between Harmonic Scalpel, Bipolar Forceps Diathermy and Classic Suture Ligation in Total Thyroidectomy.
19. Duan YF, Xue W, Zhu F, Sun DL. FOCUS harmonic scalpel compared to conventional hemostasis in open total thyroidectomy-a prospective randomized study. *Journal of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery*. 2013 Jan;42(1):62.
20. Manouras A, Markogiannakis H, Koutras AS, Antonakis PT, Drimousis P, Lagoudianakis EE, Kekis P, Genetzakis M, Koutsoumanis K, Bramis I. Thyroid surgery: comparison between the electrothermal bipolar vessel sealing system, harmonic scalpel, and classic suture ligation. *The American Journal of Surgery*. 2008 Jan 1;195(1):48-52.
21. Hammad AY, Deniwar A, Al-Qurayshi Z, Mohamed HE, Rizwan A, Kandil E. A prospective study comparing the efficacy and surgical outcomes of harmonic focus scalpel versus ligasure small jaw in thyroid and parathyroid surgery. *Surgical innovation*. 2016 Oct;23(5):486-9.
22. Vidinov K, Sechanov T. Thyroid surgery: comparison between “ultrasonic scalpel-SonoSurg” and classic “clamp and tie” technique. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Investigations*. 2015 Sep 1;6(3):209-13.
23. Sarda H, Arora V, Sachdeva T, Jain SK. Systematic review of comparison of use of ultrasonic scalpel versus conventional haemostatic techniques in performing thyroid surgery. *Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery*. 2022 Dec;74(Suppl 3):6285-91.
24. Bove A, Papanikolaou IG, Bongarzone G, Mattei PA, Markogiannakis H, Chatzipetrou M, D’Addetta V, Di Renzo RM, Fiordaliso M, Corbellini L. Thyroid surgery with harmonic focus, ligasure precise and conventional technique: a retrospective case-matched study. *Hippokratia*. 2012 Apr;16(2):154.

25. Kwak HY, Chae BJ, Park YG, Kim SH, Chang EY, Kim EJ, Song BJ, Jung SS, Bae JS. Comparison of surgical outcomes between papillary thyroid cancer patients treated with the Harmonic ACE scalpel and LigaSure Precise instrument during conventional thyroidectomy: a single-blind prospective randomized controlled trial. journal of surgical research. 2014 Apr 1;187(2):484-9.