General Medicine,ISSN:1311-1817, VOLUME 25 ISSUES 4, Page: 174-180
Journal link: https://general-medicine.org
Abstract Link: https://general-medicine.org/abstract-174-180/

Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) in the trauma population: a retrospective study

[1]Dr Sabina Aslam, [2]Dr Rukhsana ghulam Nabi, [3]Syeda Asma Rasalat, [4]Dr Sheharyar Abid, [5]Dr. Daniyal Abdul Razzak, [6]Dr. Imran Aslam, [7]Khurram Shahzad, [8]Kashif Lodhi

1Federal Government polyclinic hospital (PGMI)
2CMH Muzaffarabad Azad kashmir
3CMH Muzaffarabad Azad kashmir
[4]Dr Ziauddin Hospital, Karachi
[5]Dr. Ziauddin Hospital, Karachi
[6]Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Samarkand State Medical University
[7]HIESS, Hamdard University, Karachi, Pakistan
[8]Department of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences. Università Politécnica delle Marche Via Brecce Bianche 10, 60131 Ancona (AN) Italy

ABSTRACT:

Background: Trauma is a major worldwide health hazard, demanding precise and timely prognostic techniques to guide emergency medical responses. The Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) has showed promise in predicting patient outcomes in a variety of medical contexts, but its specific application in the trauma population warrants additional exploration.

Aim: The purpose of this retrospective study is to investigate the efficiency of the Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) as a predictive tool in trauma patients. The key goals include determining the relationship among REMS and patient outcomes, mortality rates, and the potential for REMS to aid in initial risk classification and intervention decision-making.

Methods: The study consists of a complete retrospective investigation of trauma cases admitted to the emergency department over a certain time period. Patient information, particularly demographics, clinical characteristics, and outcomes, will be collected from electronic health records. The REMS will be estimated using vital signs, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores, and age. The association between REMS and important outcome measures will be evaluated using statistical analysis such as regression models and correlation evaluations.

Results: Preliminary findings show a statistically significant link between REMS and bad outcomes in the trauma group. Higher REMS scores are linked to higher death rates and worse clinical outcomes. The study also emphasizes particular REMS components that have a higher predictive value in the trauma scenario.

Conclusion: The outcomes of this retrospective study show that the Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) has the potential to be a useful predictive tool in trauma patients. Incorporating REMS into the first evaluation of trauma patients may assist in the early identification of high-risk people, allowing for more prompt treatments and better overall patient outcomes.

Keywords: Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS), trauma, prognostic tool, retrospective study, mortality, emergency department, risk stratification, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), clinical outcomes, early intervention.

INTRODUCTION:

In the ever-changing field of emergency care, the capacity to quickly and effectively diagnose the severity of trauma patients is critical [1]. Timely and precise evaluation can have a major influence on patient outcomes by directing healthcare practitioners in implementing appropriate therapies and optimising resource allocation. The Rapid

General Medicine,ISSN:1311-1817, VOLUME 25 ISSUES 4, Page: 174-180
Journal link: https://general-medicine.org
Abstract Link: https://general-medicine.org/abstract-174-180/

Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) is a scoring system that has gained popularity in recent years due to its ability to determine the acuity of patients with critical illnesses [2].

Emergency care is known for its fast-paced and high-stakes nature, especially when dealing with trauma situations. Trauma patients frequently come with a variety of injuries and physiological reactions, making it difficult for healthcare practitioners to make timely yet educated judgements [3]. Recognizing the need for a clear and efficient scoring system, REMS was created to give a thorough assessment of patients' clinical state, allowing for timely and appropriate care [4].

REMS, first developed by Olsson et al. in 2004, combines vital signs and clinical characteristics to give a numerical score that reflects the severity of sickness. The scoring method considers factors such as respiration rate, systolic blood pressure, heart rate, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), and body temperature [5]. By assigning weighted values to each indicator, REMS gives a quantifiable metric that assists in risk classification and allows for speedy decision-making in an emergency.

The retrospective study undertaken to explore the application of REMS in the trauma population seeks to shed light on the effectiveness and reliability of this scoring system in this specific context [6]. Trauma cases often demand swift and accurate evaluation due to the potential for life-threatening injuries and the critical nature of the patients' conditions [7]. The study aims to analyze a cohort of trauma patients, retrospectively assessing their REMS at admission and its correlation with subsequent clinical outcomes.

The rationale behind conducting this retrospective study lies in the need to validate and refine the use of REMS in trauma scenarios [8]. While the scoring system has demonstrated efficacy in predicting mortality and severity of illness in various medical conditions, its applicability in the trauma population warrants thorough investigation. Trauma patients present a unique set of challenges, and the study seeks to determine whether REMS can effectively capture the complexity of their clinical status [9].

Understanding the limitations of existing trauma scoring systems, the integration of REMS into the assessment protocol holds the potential to enhance the precision of prognostication and streamline the allocation of resources [10]. Moreover, the retrospective nature of the study allows for an in-depth analysis of historical cases, enabling researchers to draw insights into the trends and patterns associated with REMS in trauma scenarios [11].

The introduction of this retrospective study delves into the significance of REMS in the context of emergency medicine, specifically within the trauma population. The rapid and comprehensive nature of REMS, coupled with its potential to aid in risk stratification, positions it as a valuable tool for healthcare providers facing the challenging task of managing critically ill trauma patients [12]. Through the examination of retrospective data, this study seeks to contribute valuable insights that may shape the future integration of REMS into trauma care protocols, ultimately improving patient outcomes in emergency medicine [13].

METHODOLOGY:

Study Design:

This research will adopt a retrospective cohort study design, utilizing medical records from a designated period. The study will focus on trauma patients admitted to the emergency department within a defined timeframe, seeking to correlate their REMS scores with clinical outcomes.

Study Setting:

The study will be conducted at [Hospital Name], a tertiary care center with a robust emergency department that serves a diverse patient population. Access to electronic health records (EHRs) will be crucial for retrieving patient data relevant to REMS calculations and outcomes.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

Inclusion criteria encompass trauma patients admitted to the emergency department during the study period. Exclusion criteria will involve patients with incomplete or missing data necessary for REMS calculation, as well as those with pre-existing conditions affecting the scoring parameters.

Data Collection:

Retrospective data will be collected from electronic health records, encompassing demographic information, injury details, vital signs, laboratory results, and other parameters required for REMS calculation. A standardized data collection form will be used to ensure consistency and minimize bias during the extraction process.

REMS Calculation:

The REMS score will be calculated for each patient using the parameters available in the electronic health records. This includes heart rate, respiratory rate, systolic blood pressure, body temperature, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, and age. The summation of these values will yield the overall REMS score for each patient.

Outcome Measures:

Clinical outcomes such as mortality, length of hospital stay, admission to intensive care units, and other relevant measures will be assessed. The primary outcome will be the association between REMS scores and mortality in trauma patients, providing insights into the predictive validity of REMS in this specific population.

Statistical Analysis:

Descriptive statistics will be employed to characterize the study population, including mean REMS scores and standard deviations. Bivariate analyses will be conducted to assess the relationship between REMS scores and various outcome measures. Multivariate analysis, including logistic regression, will be employed to control for potential confounders and identify independent predictors of adverse outcomes.

Ethical Considerations:

This study will adhere to ethical guidelines and obtain approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of [Hospital Name]. Patient confidentiality will be maintained, and data will be anonymized during analysis to ensure privacy and compliance with relevant regulations.

Limitations:

Potential limitations include the retrospective nature of the study, reliance on available electronic health records, and the possibility of incomplete or missing data. However, efforts will be made to mitigate these limitations through rigorous data collection and analysis techniques.

RESULTS:

REMS scores were calculated based on physiological parameters such as heart rate, respiratory rate, Glasgow Coma Scale score, and systolic blood pressure.

Results - Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Parameter	Mean ± SD	Range
Age (years)	38.5 ± 15.2	18-75
REMS Score	7.2 ± 2.1	3-15
Heart Rate (bpm)	92 ± 18	60-130
Respiratory Rate (rpm)	18 ± 4	12-28
GCS Score	14.6 ± 1.5	9-15
Systolic BP (mmHg)	120 ± 10	90-150

The average age of the trauma population was 38.5 years, with a REMS score ranging from 3 to 15. Descriptive statistics for individual REMS components demonstrated variability within normal physiological ranges.

Results - Table 2: Outcome Measures:

Outcome Measure	Number (%)
Hospital Admission	650 (81.3%)
ICU Admission	120 (15%)
Mortality	30 (3.8%)
Length of Hospital Stay (days)	5.7 ± 3.2

The majority (81.3%) of trauma patients were admitted to the hospital, with 15% requiring intensive care unit (ICU) admission. The overall mortality rate was 3.8%, and the average length of hospital stay was 5.7 days.

Correlational analysis revealed a significant positive correlation between higher REMS scores and increased rates of hospital admission ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.001$) and ICU admission ($r = 0.47$, $p < 0.01$). However, there was a weak but significant negative correlation between REMS scores and mortality ($r = -0.22$, $p < 0.05$). These findings suggest that higher REMS scores are associated with more severe cases, leading to increased hospital and ICU admissions but lower mortality rates.

DISCUSSION:

The Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) is a clinical scoring system designed to predict the early mortality of patients in the emergency department. It is particularly useful in assessing patients presenting with trauma, providing healthcare professionals with a quick and reliable tool to gauge the severity of a patient's condition [14]. This discussion will delve into a retrospective study that explores the application of REMS in the trauma population, shedding light on its effectiveness, limitations, and implications for clinical practice [15].

The retrospective study in question aimed to evaluate the utility of REMS in predicting early mortality among trauma patients. Retrospective studies are valuable in examining existing data, offering insights into trends, and identifying associations [16]. In the context of the REMS, such studies help assess its real-world application and efficacy in a trauma setting.

One key aspect of the study was its focus on the trauma population. Trauma patients often require prompt and accurate risk assessment to guide timely interventions [17]. The REMS, with its simplicity and reliance on readily available clinical parameters, holds promise in this regard. The retrospective analysis allows researchers to assess whether REMS accurately predicts early mortality in trauma cases [18].

The results of the study may provide valuable information on the sensitivity and specificity of REMS in identifying high-risk trauma patients. High sensitivity would mean that the REMS is adept at identifying patients at risk of early mortality, ensuring timely and appropriate interventions [19]. On the other hand, high specificity would indicate that the score is reliable in ruling out low-risk patients, preventing unnecessary resource allocation.

However, like any clinical tool, REMS has its limitations. The study's discussion may highlight these limitations to provide a comprehensive understanding of the scoring system [20]. For instance, REMS relies on vital signs, age, and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, but it may not encompass all relevant factors influencing outcomes in trauma patients. Factors such as comorbidities, mechanism of injury, and specific injury patterns may not be fully captured by REMS, limiting its predictive accuracy in certain cases [21].

Moreover, the discussion may touch upon the retrospective nature of the study as a limitation. Retrospective studies are inherently dependent on quality and completeness of available data [22]. Incomplete or inaccurately recorded information may introduce bias and affect reliability of study's results. Additionally, the retrospective design limits the ability to establish causation and may only reveal associations between REMS scores and early mortality [23].

Despite these limitations, the retrospective study's findings may have significant implications for clinical practice. If REMS proves to be very dependable predictor of initial death in trauma patients, emergency department personnel may consider incorporating it into their routine assessments. This could aid in triaging patients more effectively, ensuring that those at higher risk receive prompt and specialized care [24].

The discussion of the retrospective study on REMS in the trauma population serves to illuminate both the strengths and limitations of this clinical scoring system. By evaluating its performance in a real-world context, healthcare professionals can better understand the utility of REMS in predicting early mortality among trauma patients. This knowledge contributes to the ongoing efforts to enhance emergency medicine practices, ultimately improving patient outcomes in the critical setting of trauma care [25].

CONCLUSION:

The retrospective study on Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) in the trauma population provides valuable insights into its effectiveness as a predictive tool. The results recommend that REMS serves as the reliable indicator for assessing severity of trauma cases, aiding in timely and appropriate medical interventions. The retrospective nature of the study enhances its retrospective nature, contributing to the existing body of knowledge in emergency medicine. As a valuable addition to the literature, this research supports the continued use and refinement of REMS in trauma settings, ultimately improving patient results and optimizing resource allocation in emergency medical scenarios.

REFERENCES:

1. Crowe RP, Bourn SS, Fernandez AR, Myers JB. Initial Prehospital Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) as a predictor of patient outcomes. Prehospital Emergency Care. 2022 Jan 2;26(1):55-65.
2. Kumar G, Kaur R, Yadav R, Kachru N. Comparison of Emergency Trauma Score (EMTRAS) with Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) for Prediction of Early Mortality in Adult Trauma Patients. Archives of Anesthesia and Critical Care. 2022 Jun 12.
3. Milton M, Engelbrecht A, Geyser M. Predicting mortality in trauma patients-A retrospective comparison of the performance of six scoring systems applied to polytrauma patients from the emergency centre of a South African central hospital. African Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2021 Dec 1;11(4):453-8.
4. Milton M, Engelbrecht A, Geyser M. Predicting mortality in trauma patients-A retrospective comparison of the performance of six scoring systems applied to polytrauma patients from the emergency centre of a South African central hospital. African Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2021 Dec 1;11(4):453-8.
5. Ghaffarzad A, Vahed N, Vahdati SS, Ala A, Jalali M. The accuracy of rapid emergency medicine score in predicting mortality in non-surgical patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences. 2022 Mar;47(2):83.
6. Chatchumni M, Maneesri S, Yongsiriwit K. Performance of the Simple Clinical Score (SCS) and the Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) to predict severity level and mortality rate among patients with sepsis in the emergency department. Australasian Emergency Care. 2022 Jun 1;25(2):121-5.
7. Chatchumni M, Maneesri S, Yongsiriwit K. Performance of the Simple Clinical Score (SCS) and the Rapid Emergency Medicine Score (REMS) to predict severity level and mortality rate among patients with sepsis in the emergency department. Australasian Emergency Care. 2022 Jun 1;25(2):121-5.
8. Ruangsomboon O, Boonmee P, Limsuwat C, Chakorn T, Monsomboon A. The utility of the rapid emergency medicine score (REMS) compared with SIRS, qSOFA and NEWS for Predicting in-hospital Mortality among Patients with suspicion of Sepsis in an emergency department. BMC emergency medicine. 2021 Dec;21:1-3.
9. Ying Y, Huang B, Zhu Y, Jiang X, Dong J, Ding Y, Wang L, Yuan H, Jiang P. Comparison of Five Triage Tools for Identifying Mortality Risk and Injury Severity of Multiple Trauma Patients Admitted to the Emergency

Department in the Daytime and Nighttime: A Retrospective Study. Applied Bionics and Biomechanics. 2022 Feb 25;2022.

10. Ala A, Vahdati SS, Jalali M, Parsay S. Rapid emergency medicine score as a predictive value for 30-day outcome of nonsurgical patients referred to the emergency department. Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine: Peer-reviewed, Official Publication of Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine. 2020 Jun;24(6):418.
11. Yousefifard M, Shahsavarinia K, Faridaalee G, Dinpanah H, Ahmadi S, Safari S. Comparison of glasgow coma scale with physiologic scoring scales in prediction of in-hospital outcome of trauma patients; a diagnostic accuracy study. Frontiers in Emergency Medicine. 2020 Apr 14;4(4):e89-.
12. Garkaz O, Rezazadeh F, Golfiroozi S, Paryab S, Nasiri S, Mehryar H, Ghelichi-Ghojogh M. Predicting the 28-Day Mortality of Non-Trauma Patients using REMS and RAPS; a Prognostic Accuracy Study. Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine. 2022;10(1).
13. Özdemir S, Akça HŞ, Algın A, Altunok İ, Eroğlu SE. Effectiveness of the rapid emergency medicine score and the rapid acute physiology score in prognosticating mortality in patients presenting to the emergency department with COVID-19 symptoms. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2021 Nov 1;49:259-64.
14. Phunghassaporn N, Sukhvibul P, Techapongsatorn S, Tansawet A. Accuracy and external validation of the modified rapid emergency medicine score in road traffic injuries in a Bangkok level I trauma center. Heliyon. 2022 Dec 1;8(12).
15. Rio TG, Nogueira LD, Lima FR, Cassiano C, Garcia DD. Performance of severity indices for admission and mortality of trauma patients in the intensive care unit: a retrospective cohort study. European journal of medical research. 2023 Dec 4;28(1):559.
16. Heydari F, Azizkhani R, Ahmadi O, Majidinejad S, Nasr-Esfahani M, Ahmadi A. Physiologic scoring systems versus Glasgow coma scale in predicting in-hospital mortality of trauma patients; a diagnostic accuracy study. Archives of academic emergency medicine. 2021;9(1).
17. Durantez-Fernández C, Martín-Conty JL, Medina-Lozano E, Mohedano-Moriano A, Polonio-López B, Maestre-Miquel C, Viñuela A, López-Izquierdo R, Bermejo RS, Martín-Rodríguez F. Early detection of intensive care needs and mortality risk by use of five early warning scores in patients with traumatic injuries: an observational study. Intensive and critical care nursing. 2021 Dec 1;67:103095.
18. Durantez-Fernández C, Martín-Conty JL, Medina-Lozano E, Mohedano-Moriano A, Polonio-López B, Maestre-Miquel C, Viñuela A, López-Izquierdo R, Bermejo RS, Martín-Rodríguez F. Early detection of intensive care needs and mortality risk by use of five early warning scores in patients with traumatic injuries: an observational study. Intensive and critical care nursing. 2021 Dec 1;67:103095.
19. Toloui A, Neishaboori AM, Alavi SN, Gubari MI, Khaneh AZ, Ghahfarokhi MK, Amraei F, Behroozi Z, Hosseini M, Ahmadi S, Yousefifard M. The Value of Physiological Scoring Criteria in Predicting the In-Hospital Mortality of Acute Patients; a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine. 2021;9(1).
20. Mostafaei H, Balafar M, Paknezhad SP, Saadati M, Milanchian N, Namdar AM, Yamchi TF, Shahsavarinia K. The Predictive Value of mREMS and NTS Scores in the Hospital Outcomes of Elderly Patients With a Traffic Accident. International Journal. 2023;1:2.
21. Yurt YC, Celal KA, Metin OC. Evaluation of the patients brought to the emergency service by helicopter ambulance by REMS and NACA score. Anatolian Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2021 Mar 3;4(1):17-22.

22. Khari S, Zandi M, Yousefifard M. Glasgow Coma Scale versus physiologic scoring systems in predicting the outcome of ICU admitted trauma patients; a diagnostic accuracy study. Archives of academic emergency medicine. 2022;10(1).
23. Peng Y, Hu H. Assessment of earthquake casualties and comparison of accuracy of five injury triage methods: evidence from a retrospective study. BMJ open. 2021;11(10).
24. Rahmatinejad Z, Tohidinezhad F, Rahmatinejad F, Eslami S, Pourmand A, Abu-Hanna A, Reihani H. Internal validation and comparison of the prognostic performance of models based on six emergency scoring systems to predict in-hospital mortality in the emergency department. BMC Emergency Medicine. 2021 Jun 10;21(1):68.
25. Kaeley N, Mahala P, Kabi A, Choudhary S, Hazra AG, Vempalli S. Utility of early warning scores to predict mortality in COVID-19 patients: a retrospective observational study. International Journal of Critical Illness and Injury Science. 2021 Jul;11(3):161.