General Medicine,ISSN:1311-1817, VOLUME 26 ISSUES 3, Page: 1217-1227
Journal link: https://general-medicine.org
Abstract Link: https://general-medicine.org/abstract-1217-1227/
November 2024

Impact of nutrition education programs on the improvement of athletes' nutrient status

[1]Muhammad Zohaib, [2]Khuram Rashid, [3]Babar Ali Raza, [4]Marwa Aslam, [5]Mobeen Ali, [6]Umar Khan

[1]Chandka teaching hospital Larkana
[2]Chandka teaching hospital Larkana
[3]UHS, Lahore
[4]Mayo Hospital Lahore
[5]PIMS
[6]PIMS

ABSTRACT:

Aim: Athletes who participate in education programs designed to improve their nutrition knowledge also get assistance for making good dietary changes that may improve their athletic performance as well as their overall health and well-being. The purpose of this comprehensive research was to investigate whether or not nutrition education programs principal to improvements in the eating habits of athletes.

Methods: A search was carried out, and the publications that provided quantitative analysis of the nutritional intake of athletes of any caliber and age ranging from 13 to 64 years old in response to a nutrition education program were included in the results. When it was practicable to do so, standardized differences, also known as result sizes, were computed for each dietary parameter.

Results: The search turned up 6327 publications, 26 of which had 985 participants (72.8 percent of whom were female), and were thus qualified for inclusion. Athletes who competed at high school (n = 4), college or higher (n = 21), and even higher levels were detailed in the investigations. The formats of the experiments either were single-arm, with a group that received just the treatment (14 studies, totaling 246 participants), or double-arm, with both an intervention and a control subject (11 experiments, totaling 694 participants). There was no provision made for any ‘sham' or alternate intervention for the control groups. The majority of educational interventions consisted of one-on-one nutrition therapy for individuals (8/23) and in-person presentations (10/23). The technique of dietary evaluation that was used the most commonly was a record of the individual's three-day meals that was not weighed (7/23). Diet changes were variable, despite the fact that 16 out of 23 trials (n=6 single and n=10 double) revealed a substantial change in at least one of the nutrition parameters. Due to the poor quality of studies and the diversity of the techniques used, it is impossible to draw conclusive results on the effectiveness of the general treatment or the educational modality that are better. It is important to highlight that when carbohydrate intakes "post-intervention" was evaluated, the results did not consistently reach the suggested criteria (13/19 trials).

Conclusion: In light of the initial capital investment that has been made in nutritional educational intervention with athletes, there is a need for research that is both well planned and rigorous in order to guide the development of future best practice.

Keywords: Education Programmes, Athletes nutrition, Nutrition Knowledge.

INTRODUCTION:

Nutrition education treatments are intended to assist athletes in conforming their nutritional consumption to current sports nutrition standards. Personal consults to community education; others combine useful data such as cooking or shopping; and some are integrated into numerous top institutions, professional, or collegiate sports programs [1]. Considering the time and money spent on athlete education, there is little data regarding how these nutrition initiatives affect nutritional consumption [2]. A variety of assessments have assessed athletes' nutrition knowledge and one that was just released rumors on how this advance through nutrition instruction [3]. Athlete nutritional status varies considerably between athlete subgroups, although it increases, at least in near term, once following shorter nutrition education programs [5]. The researchers would be unable to determine the best successful nutrition education method to enhance nutrition knowledge in athletes because of extensive variety of knowledge evaluation instruments with inadequate validation [6-10]. While it is commonly thought that increased nutrition knowledge leads to increased food consumption, data reveals that other aspects are as essential [11]. Athletes might well be unsuccessful to meet its own dietary needs owing to obstacles including such limited time for food preparation and choice owing to tall everyday training promises, repressed hunger among training sessions, food culture also traditions distinctive to the sport, spiritual or conservational factors, and body structure and physique prerequisites necessary for achievement [12-18]. Coaches also sports nutritionists have observed that athletes whom are confident in its nutrition knowledge are now extra probable to integrate our current information into their lifestyle through selecting optimal meals for their sport [19]. Nonetheless, the usefulness of various forms of nutrition education interferences in promoting change in athletes' nutritional consumption still needs to be assessed [20].

As a result, the primary goal of our current comprehensive study remained to look into the efficiency of the nutrition education intervention on dietary intake variation in athletes [21]. The second goal remained to evaluate competence of various modes of education delivery [23-26]. Due to the significant existing institutional asset in nutrition education for athletes, the complete assessment of their impact on changing dietary intake is important for guiding upcoming best practices [22].

METHODOLOGY:

One researcher did a comprehensive literature search to discover research from the beginning of time through May 2020. Additional Figure 1 depicts the entire electronic search approach. A manual search of research mentioned in comparable reviews and included studies supplemented the search approach. This PRISMA-compliant comprehensive review was recorded on Prospero and disclosed in accordance with PRISMA guidelines.

Controlled trials, quasi-experimental research, and pre-post study designs were all eligible to involve forming. Descriptions and research that were not written in English were not considered.

Athletes from 13 to 64 years old from altogether sports and athletic levels became considered for involvement in studies. Individual/set counseling/education, in-person or virtual formats and other therapies are considered qualified if the primary endpoint, change in food consumption, was recorded statistically.

Two writers independently evaluated the full texts of all possibly qualifying papers. The information was gathered in triplicate after identifying appropriate full-text publications. When appropriate, paper authors were approached and asked to provide extra information. The median also SD of information shown in images were calculated using a computer program. Pre- and post-intervention nutritional data comprised average energy, macronutrient, micronutrient, food category, and KIDMED diet quality/index. If not stated differently, essential nutrients are automatically standardized to SI units. Solitary micronutrients iron and calcium remained recorded inside this analysis to reduce table size.

Other micronutrient modifications have been simply mentioned in the text. Whenever appropriate information (mean, SD, or SEM) remained supplied, within- and between-group significance level was computed to evaluate variations. The Hedges g (random model) ES was subsequently computed in Complete Meta-Analysis Version 2 program using gathered information (mean, SD, sample size) and was classified as insignificant (0.1-0.18), medium (0.3-0.47), medium (0.6-0.75), or large (0.9).

The following food group or ensure continuous improvement consumption daily amount guidelines specific to the nation were utilized to examine the appropriateness of recorded dietary intakes, and for study employed diet quality/indexes, the suggested ranges for those kinds of criteria mentioned in the publication were employed. The kinds of technique were using to ascertain the approximate fuel requirements of the people involved to measure competence of energy intake might diversity from publication energy stipulation algorithms, general public energy provision suggestions for 'active' or ‘very active' persons, or literature disclosed value systems for athletic.

The categorization of macronutrient sufficiency differed based on the parameters utilized in paper. Previously, scientific view on it here varied over course of experiments, originally being suggested as the percentage of daily energy (protein 11-16%; fat 24-32%; and carbohydrate 51-67% of daily energy intake), subsequently being recognized that g/kg/d suggestions remained much extra relevant (protein 2.1-3.1g/kg/d also carbohydrate 4-14g/kg/d). If not stated in the publication, g/kg/d calculations were performed to aid in determining suitability of the earlier, percentage of energy guidelines. Micronutrient sufficiency remained determined using corresponding country's RDI/RDA values.

In previous nutrition literature, average intakes that did not reach RDI/RDA but exceeded the specified proportion ranging from 71-100% of RDI/RDA for micronutrients remained described by way of probably to remain sufficient, despite the fact that this is not an acknowledged manner of evaluating dietary adequacy. Three researchers individually rated the report's quality in duplicate by means of the customized version of Downs and Black probability of partiality evaluation technique. The unique instrument consisted of 27 questions that assess detailed reports, both internal and external accuracy, prejudice, and confidence interval. In single-arm research designs, 21 of 28 operationally applicable questions have been included.

This method was used in conjunction with the Downs and Black tool, taking into account items 9.4-9.5 of Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Quality Criteria Checklist and enabling explanation while analyzing quality ratings. Depending on the grading technique of the Downs and Black checklists, Downs and Black score categories have been assigned to appropriate quality standards. For hammer strength studies, quality levels were excellent (21-23), good (14-18), fair (13-15), and bad (14), whereas for double arm experiments, quality standards were outstanding (24-25), good (19-23), fair (15-18), and poor (15). The highest scores for single-arm and double-arm investigations remained at 22 and 24, correspondingly. To attain unanimity, writers' disagreements were resolved.

RESULTS:

And through selected databases, a maximum of 8020 items were found. 6290 items remaining after redundancies were removed. A title, as well as an abstract screening, yielded 38 papers for full-text evaluation. Afterward being assessed against eligibility also exclusion criteria, 23 studies remained determined to be eligible for inclusion (Figure 1). Hand-searching the bibliographies from included papers yielded one extra article.

Single-arm (treatment set hardly) and double-arm (involvement or control sets) experiments were summarized (Table 1). The representative sample ranged from 8 to 214 athletes (81.6% of whom were female) in all investigations. The research was carried out in the United Kingdom (n=15), Africa (n=6), Iraq (n=1), the Maldives (n=1), and France (n=1). The body of researches (n=8) featured mixed sports,

while one of those investigations combined two subgroups (mixed sport and ballet dancers). Volleyball (n=4), soccer, baseball, softball, also handball (all n=1) have completely been comprised in eight research. Rowing, ballet, track also field, canoeing, and fighting were all physical sports (n=1). The average age of athletes in all studies remained 18.7 years.

High school (n=4 research), undergraduate (n=5 experiments), state (n=2 experiments), regional (n=10 experiments), and worldwide (n=5 experiments) athletic levels were studied. Athletes having physical limitations were part of two surveys. Various nutrition teaching methods were used in 23 included studies. Individual nutrition coaching (n=5) and face-to-face group presentations (n=7) were the most regularly employed methods. Additional techniques include corporate workshops/activities (n=5) and mixed techniques (n=6), which comprised lectures through handouts or lectures with counseling services. The majority of research (n=12) included a variety of nutrition themes such as energy, macronutrient, micronutrient, also hydration concepts, feeding practices in addition timing, also supplement usage. Food categories and nutritional recommendations (n=3), nutrient suggestions (n=1), basic sport nutrition concepts (n=2), iron (n=2), Mediterranean diet concepts (n=2), and personalized nutrition programmes or weight management techniques (n=4) were among the other subjects covered.

The nutrition education treatments spanned in length from 3-38 weeks, including two trials encompassing the follow-up phase (7-17 weeks) and reporting dietary modification persistence. One research examined improvements in athlete nutritional consumption across two seasons, including instruction offered during the second season, but did not specify the frequency or regularity of education. Across the treatment period, the number of meetings ranged from three (4/23 research), 5-8 (14/23 research papers), to more than seven (7/23 research). The duration of each session ranged from 12 to 130 mins, with a total intervention period interval from 62 to 730 minutes.

The majority of the treatments (18/23) were provided by certified (or student) nutrition professionals the with following titles: 'dietitian' (n=11),' sports or performing nutritionist/dietitian' (n=5), 'nutrition professional nutritionist' (n=3), and pupil dietitian (n=2). Sixteen of research papers (6/23) did not report moderator competence. The majority of these studies (14/23) examined food intake twice, once before and once after intervention, with just some (8/23) also doing evaluations even during involvement (data not extracted). The second study did not reveal quantity of food intake measurement sessions, but they did offer pre- and post-intervention outcomes. To gather nutritional status, every research used a proper diet technique, although only 11/23 studies used the methodology correctly.

A systematic review was rated 13/23 for independent studies and 16/26 for double-arm investigations, indicating low reliability of research for single-arm studies and good research excellence for double-arm research, correspondingly (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Most disclosed their objectives, likewise showed, empirical results, and employed statistical significance tests. The recording of obedience to education intervention also the collecting of food intake received the lowest ratings. Eleven of the research had proper dietary approaches, with drawbacks primarily related to limited means of connecting and insufficient assessment and gathering days for key micronutrients. Iron intake, for instance, was recorded just after three days of assessment in the food diary that takes up to eleven reporting days.

The single-arm trials (n=14) looked at the within-group effect on food consumption before and after the school feeding program (Table 2a). Ten of the fourteen studies found changes in energy intake and eleven documented changes in macronutrients mostly using 3-day diet recordings (n=7), with the lower proportion utilizing 7-day diet records (n=2), 24-hour recall (n=3), or 72-hour recall (n=1). Micronutrient intake was documented in 5/15 research, the majority of which used 3-day diet records (n=4 studies) and one that used 24-hour recollection (n=1 study). Food company consumption was measured in 4/14 single-

arm trials. In 2/14 studies, adherence to the Mediterranean Diet must have been reviewed using a diet index.

Many single-arm studies on energy consumption indicated that this was inside this authors' desired EER at the beginning. Five out of eight studies with a low mean respondent energy intake at the beginning showed a considerably greater thread energy intake, with just one remaining inside the researchers' determined EER. The ES was available in sizes ranging from small to giant. It is worth noting that in one of the reaserch included both ballet dancers and female-athlete sub-groups, and while both had the significant rise and big ES, only the female-athlete sub-group fulfilled the investigators' post-intervention calorie intake spectrum (ES: 2.1-3.4; p0.002). The third trial (2/8) with lower pain total calories demonstrated a near-significant drop just below researchers determined EER post-intervention (ES: -0.3; p=0.06).

Carbohydrate consumption remained measured in 11/14 single-arm trials. The researchers regarded the average carbohydrate intake at starting to be suitable (>51% of energy or 7-11g/kg/day) for the study participants analyzed in only 3/14 trials. In the 9/14 trials where carbohydrate consumption was found to be less than the needs at the beginning, the average intake (once estimated) ranged from 4.2 to 5.2g/kg/d. This climbed to 4.9-8.1 g/kg/d post-intervention, through 4/8 trials indicating massive rises in carbohydrate consumption (ES: 0.8-1.5; p0.06), but still falling short of the researchers' suggestions. Neither big difference in carbohydrate consumption was found post-intervention in the 2/10 trials that were assessed to have appropriate carbohydrate consumption at zero depending on % of energy (15; 22).

Carbohydrate consumption was measured in 11/14 single-arm trials. The researchers regarded the average carbohydrate intake at starting to be suitable (>51% of energy or 7-11g/kg/day) for our research participants analyzed in only 3/14 trials. In the 9/14 trials wherein carbohydrate consumption was found to be less than the needs at the beginning, the average intake (once estimated) ranged from 4.2 to 5.2g/kg/d. This climbed to 4.9-8.1 g/kg/d post-intervention, through 4/8 trials indicating a sharp rise in carbohydrate consumption (ES: 0.8-1.5; p0.06), but still falling short of the researchers' suggestions. Neither big difference in carbohydrate consumption was found post-intervention in the 2/10 trials that were assessed to have appropriate carbohydrate consumption at the commencement depending on % of energy (15; 22).

Protein consumption was recorded in 11/13 single-arm trials and was rated appropriate at baseline (1.2-2.8g/kg/d, when measured) in 6/13 investigations (1.2-2.8g/kg/d, once estimated) (14; 19). Three of studies reviewed categorized as having sufficient protein intake at starting point similarly showed inadequate dietary protein post-interference (1.2-1.7g/kg/d), whereas other second study indicated minor to high dimensionality to reach desired protein consumption, ranging from 1.3 to 2.2g/kg/d.

Table 1:

Items	No	Yes	NA
Applicant features			
Athletic Caliber	23	2	1
Age	22	2	1
Gender	7	16	2
Representative sample recruited	3	21	1
Sport type	11	13	1
Body composition was measured at the start	11	13	1

Weight loss was measured before and after the diet	5	6	1
Protocols for determining body fat percentage are thoroughly specified	14	10	1
Justification and definition of fluid or other dietary consequences	4	18	3
Energy intake objectives have been developed and defended	3	19	3
Micronutrient objectives have been developed and maintained	2	17	6
Macronutrient objectives have been developed and defended	1	16	8

Table 2:

Items	**No**	**Yes**	**NA**
Dietary procedure			
Respondent n is sufficient for the dietary strategies utilized	11	13	1
The technique was using to evaluate nutrients is relevant	21	3	1
Dietary collecting procedures are well discussed	21	1	3
Justification for the length of time gathered for primary nutrients	22	3	1
The quantity of collecting days and their descriptions are precisely established	13	9	2
Energy consumption is objectively measured or predicted	10	11	1
Diet data verification and cleansing are properly explained	8	16	1
Well-described and adequate dietary analysis software	12	9	1

DISCUSSION:

It was the first thorough assessment to assess effect of nutrition education programs on athletes' nutritional consumption. The total influence of nutrition education initiatives remained variable [27]. Due to variety of invasion methods and timeframes, restrictions in the dietary evaluation models used, and minor sum of research trainings undertaking intervention-control correlation graphical analysis, it is challenging to make conclusive results about the effectiveness of intervention strategies such as which treatments have been the most effective [28]. The study's quality was assessed as poor to good, showing space for methodological development. In spite of substantial investments in athlete nutrition education, there still is scant and typically low-quality information on program effectiveness [29]. In just this domain, well-designed and thorough investigation applications are required to guide future best practices [30].

Upwards half of 23 studies (n=11) used the single-arm design to appraise dietary intake before and after intervention. Although here remained about sign of interventional advantage, a majority of the ES remained minor or insignificant, and hence not highly meaningful [31]. Carbohydrate consumption, in the

example, frequently failed to match the researchers' specified objectives, despite the fact that, given the age of studies, many of these suggestions were presumably obsolete and unsuitable for the activities evaluated. Surprisingly, interaction time has only been reported for 4/14 investigations also ranged from 188-310 min (4-6 h) crossways the 3- to 38-week period. None of control arms by double experiments (Table 1) utilized an alternate or sham intervention to regulate disparities in collective attentiveness [32].

In line with the findings of scientific study, carbohydrate consumption frequently fell short of the researchers' target amounts, which may have been too high for the activities studied. When it came to boosting nutritional consumption, the control group consistently beat the intervention program [33]. The majority (9/10) of the double-arm trials gave enough information on the intervention period, which varied from 65-730 minutes across 3-14 weeks [34]. The majority of the intervention among all studies focuses on face-to-face set education, concluded approximately research employing proceeds just like handouts or messages to respondents also others by means of individualized consultations also meal replacements. Though facilitation knowledge has not always been supplied, the majority of the facilitators looked to have nutrition/dietetics training [35].

The complexity among those characteristics, together with the variety of methodologies used to measure dietary results, renders determining the efficiency of treatments, as well as whether programs are preferable for boosting food intake in athletes, difficult [36]. Due to the variability and low nature of the study used in this review, few generalizations can always remain derived around the influence of nutrition education on athletes' eating choices. The authors created the summary table in form of the checklist to help in the assessment of the relative strengths and weaknesses of each of that research (Table 2) [40].

This tries to highlight the most prevalent faults found whereas similarly influencing future nutrition education studies [37]. Dietary Intake and Nutrition Education Monitoring for Sports is a checklist that includes variables that might guide better qualitative research, technique, and administration. The assessment of literature indicated four areas that deserve consideration in nutrition education-controlled trials: participation parameters, targeted dietary results of intervention, treatment features, and dietary methods. Those topics are discussed in further depth in Supplementary Table 2 [38-42].

Even though the performance of the literature that notifies this evaluation is a limiting factor, the main forte is thorough melding of research findings and creation of the DINERS checklist table, which also cogently summarizes advantages and limitations of each of that research even while directing future studies practice [43]. Nevertheless, writers claim significant limitations of their study, such as papers being eliminated if they were published in languages other than English, that raises the possibility of publication prejudice [44]. Furthermore, because of the homogeneity of each of those papers, no meta-analysis was done [45].

CONCLUSION:

In summary, there seems to be a scarcity of research on the use of nutrition education programs in athletes, in addition which is accessible remains of meager to excellent value, with mixed results. The article's results highlight 1) the significance of currently underway nutrition education for athletes, as they frequently account power also carbohydrate intakes are well underneath guidelines, and 2) significance of setting specific solutions to improve production results are affiliated to specific motor nutritional needs that could be obviously perceived and subsequently reported by sports nutrition scholars also academics. Because nutrition education remains the critical technique for increasing food intake in athletes, in addition here is a serious investment in nutrition education programs throughout greater athletic environment, robust research in this field is needed to guide best practices.

REFERENCES:

1. Kementerian Pemuda dan Olahraga. Rencana Strategis 2006-2019. Indonesia; 2019.

2. Thomas, D. T., Erdman, K. A., & Burke, L. M. Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, Dietitians of Canada, and the American College of Sports Medicine: Nutrition and Athletic Performance. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2016; 116(3), 501–528.
3. Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Buku Pintar Gizi Bagi Atlet. 2021. Kementrian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia.
4. Kementerian Pemuda dan Olahraga. Rencana Strategis 206-2019. 2019. Kementerian Pemuda dan Olahraga
5. Banfield, E.C.; Liu, Y.; Davis, J.S.; Chang, S.; Frazier-Wood, A.C. Poor Adherence to US Dietary Guidelines for Children and Adolescents in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Population. J. Acad. Nutr. Diet. 2016;116, 21–27.
6. Lee YM, Lee MJ & Kim SY. Effects of nutrition education through discretional activities in elementary school: focused on improving nutrition knowledge and dietary habits in 4th-, 5th- and 6th-grade students. J Korean Diet Assoc 2005;11, 331–340.
7. Powers A, Struempler B, Guarino A. Effects of a nutrition education program on the dietary behavior and nutrition knowledge of second-grade and third-grade students. J School Health 2005. 75, 129–133.
8. Morgan P, Warren J, Lubans D. The impact of nutrition education with and without a school garden on knowledge, vegetable intake and preferences and quality of school life among primary-school students. Public Health Nutr 2010;13, 1931–1940.
9. Heaney S, O'Connor H, Michael S. Nutrition knowledge in athletes: a systematic review. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 2011;21, 248–261.
10. Little JC, Perry DR, Volpe SL. Effect of nutrition supplement knowledge among high school students from a low-income community. J Comm Health. 2002;27:433-50.
11. Birkenhead KL, Slater G. A review of factors infuencing athletes' food choices. Sports Med. 2015;45(11):1511–22.
12. Sawyer, S.M.; Afifi, R.A.; Bearinger, L.H.; Blakemore, S.-J.; Dick, B.; Ezeh, A.C.; Patton, G.C. Adolescence: A foundation for future health. Lancet 2012, 379, 1630–1640
13. Thomas, D. T., Erdman, K. A., & Burke, L. M. Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, Dietitians of Canada, and the American College of Sports Medicine: Nutrition and Athletic Performance. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 2016;116(3), 501–528.
14. Flaskerud, K., Emerson, K., & Fonesca, S. (2019). NSUWorks Nutrition Manual for NSU Student Athletes.
15. Masson G, Lamarche B. Many non-elite multisport endurance athletes do not meet sports nutrition recommendations for carbohydrates. Appl Physiol Nut Metab. 2016;41(7):728–34.
16. Spendlove JK, Heaney SE, Giford JA, Prvan T, Denyer GS, O'Connor HT. Evaluation of general nutrition knowledge in elite Australian athletes. Br J Nutr. 2012;107(12):1–10.
17. Megan M. P L, Melinda M. M, Adam B, Yu M, Siew S W. Change in sport nutrition knowledge, attitudes/belifes and behaviours following a two-year sport nutrition education and life-skill intervenstion among hing school socer players. Nutrients. 2018; 10:1636; 1-22; doi:10.3390/nu1011163
18. Elizabeth M., Ritz, P., & Stubbs, R. J. Total energy expenditure in the elderly. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2000;54(3),S92–S103. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601030
19. The Nutrition Society. (2011). Sport and Exercise Nutrition (S. A. Lanham, S. J. Stear, S. M. Shirreffs, & A. L. Collins, Eds.). Chicester, West Sussex, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.

20. Green, M., Andrew Doyle, J., & Papadopoulos, C. (2007). Utilization of Carbohydrates in Energy Production. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780849379512.sec1.
21. Westerterp, K. R. Daily physical activity as determined by age, body mass and energy balance. European Journal of Applied Physiology, Vol. 115, pp. 1177–1184. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-015-3135-7
22. Heydenreich, J., Kayser, B., Schutz, Y., & Melzer, K. (2017, December 1). Total Energy Expenditure, Energy Intake, and Body Composition in Endurance Athletes Across the Training Season: A Systematic Review. Sports Medicine - Open, Vol. 3, pp. 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-017-0076-1
23. Siervogel, R. M., Demerath, E. W., Schubert, C., Remsberg, K. E., Chumlea, W. C., Sun, S., … Towne, B. Puberty and Body Composition. Hormone Research in Paediatrics, 2003; 60(1), 36–45. https://doi.org/10.1159/000071224
24. Rennie, M. J., Wackerhage, H., Spangenburg, E. E., & Booth, F. W. Control of the size of the human muscle mass. Annual Review of Physiology, 2004;66(9), 799–828. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.66.052102.134444
25. Beck KL, Thomson JS, Swift RJ, Von Hurst PR. 2015. Role of nutrition in performance enhancement and postexercise recovery. Open Access J Sports Med. 6:259–267. doi:https://doi.org/10.2147/OAJSM.S33605. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
26. Brewer C, Dawson B, Heasman J, Stewart G, Cormack S. 2010. Movement pattern comparisons in elite (AFL) and sub-elite (WAFL) Australian football games using GPS. J Sci Med Sport. 13(6):618–623. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2010.01.005. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
27. Devlin BL, Belski R. 2015. Exploring general and sports nutrition and food knowledge in elite male Australian athletes. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 25(3):225–232. doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2013-0259. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
28. Devlin BL, Leveritt MD, Kingsley M, Belski R. 2017. Dietary intake, body composition, and nutrition knowledge of australian football and soccer players: implications for sports nutrition professionals in practice. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 27(2):130–138. doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2016-0191. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
29. Garthe I, Raastad T, Refsnes PE, Sundgot-Borgen J. 2013. Effect of nutritional intervention on body composition and performance in elite athletes. European Journal of Sport Science. 13(3):295–303. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2011.643923. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
30. Heaney S, O'Connor H, Michael S, Gifford J, Naughton G. 2011. Nutrition knowledge in athletes: a systematic review. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 21(3):248–261. doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.21.3.248. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
31. International Olympic Committee. 2011. IOC consensus statement on sports nutrition 2010. J Sports Sci. 29(sup1):S3–S4. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2011.619349. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Google Scholar]
32. Jenner SL, Buckley GL, Belski R, Devlin BL, Forsyth AK. 2019. Dietary intakes of professional and semi-professional team sport athletes do not meet sport nutrition recommendations-a systematic literature review. Nutrients. 11(5):5. doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11051160. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
33. Jenner SL, Trakman G, Coutts A, Kempton T, Ryan S, Forsyth A, Belski R. 2018. Dietary intake of professional Australian football athletes surrounding body composition assessment. J Int Soc Sports Nutr. 15(1):43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12970-018-0248-5. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Google Scholar]

34. Malone S, Solan B, Collins KD, Doran DA. 2016. Positional match running performance in elite Gaelic football. J Strength Conditioning Res. 30:8. doi:https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000001309. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
35. McCrink CM, McSorley EM, Grant K, McNeilly AM, Magee PJ. 2020. An investigation of dietary intake, nutrition knowledge and hydration status of Gaelic football players. Eur J Nutr. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-020-02341-x. [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
36. O'Brien L, Collins K, Doran D, Khaiyat O, Amirabdollahian F. 2019. Dietary intake and energy expenditure assessed during a pre-season period in elite Gaelic football players. Sports (Basel). 7(3):3. doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7030062. [Google Scholar]
37. Osgnach C, Poser S, Bernardini R, Rinaldo R, Di Prampero PE. 2010. Energy cost and metabolic power in elite soccer: a new match analysis approach. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 42(1):1. doi:https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181ae5cfd. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
38. Reilly T, Doran D. 2001. Science and Gaelic football: a review. J Sports Sci. 19(3):181–193. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/026404101750095330. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
39. Spendlove JK, Heaney SE, Gifford JA, Prvan T, Denyer GS, O'Connor HT. 2012. Evaluation of general nutrition knowledge in elite Australian athletes. Br J Nutr. 107(12):1871–1880. doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114511005125. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
40. Spronk I, Heaney SE, Prvan T, O'Connor HT. 2015. Relationship between general nutrition knowledge and dietary quality in elite athletes. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 25(3):243–251. doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2014-0034. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]