

## THE CURRENT STUDY LOOKED AT THE ASSOCIATION AMONG NUTRITION KNOWLEDGE AND FOOD CONSUMPTION IN ADULTS

<sup>1</sup>Aqsa Mansoor Dar, <sup>2</sup>Dr Minahil Pervaiz, <sup>3</sup>Dr Shumaila Shahid, <sup>4</sup>Dr. Muhammad Numan Tahir, <sup>5</sup>Qurait Maqsood, <sup>6</sup>Dr Kheziema Maryum

<sup>1</sup>Frontier Medical College, Abbottabad

<sup>2</sup>Ajk Medical College

<sup>3</sup>Demonstrate, community medicine deptt, CMH institute of medical sciences, Multan

<sup>4</sup>Federal Government Polyclinic Hospital (PGMI) Islamabad

<sup>5</sup>Poonch Medical College Rawalakot

<sup>6</sup>Poonch Medical College Rawalakot

### ABSTRACT:

**Aim:** The current research observed at suggestion among nutrition knowledge and food feasting in adults. Appropriate databases were examined from the beginning of time through May 20, 2021. Nutrition; diet or food knowledge also energy intake; eating behaviors; diet; eating; nutrient or nutritional consumption or absorption remained all search phrases.

**Methods:** Published scientific publications that employed tools to provide quantitative assessments of nutrition knowledge and food intake, as well as their causal connection, were incorporated into the investigations.

**Results:** The original search yielded 2 198 400 possibly relevant papers, twenty-seven of which qualified for inclusion. The majority of them (n 21) were undertaken in community groups, including the lesser (n 6) in athletic groups. A conceptual was not performed because of the diversity of methodologies used to measure nutrition knowledge and food consumption. The majority of research (647%) found substantial, favorable, but moderate (r, 06) relationships between increased nutrition knowledge and food consumption, most typically an improved consumption of fruits and vegetables. Furthermore, confidence in the qualitative research varied greatly, and participation from the lower socioeconomic class was restricted, with the majority of applicants being tertiary educated and female. To explain suggestion amongst nutrition knowledge also food consumption, well-designed research retaining established practice remains essential. Diet superiority scores or indexes that seek to assess dietary recommendations conformance may well be especially useful for examining link among nutrition knowledge and food consumption.

**Conclusion:** Nutrition knowledge remains very essential element of health literacy, also because access to care is related to meager population health, present, high-quality studies are essential to effect neighborhood nutrition education also public health policy.

**Keywords:** nutrition knowledge and food consumption, energy intake, feeding behaviors, diet, eating.

### INTRODUCTION:

Nutrition education programs remain intended to increase nutrition knowledge through goal of encouraging healthy food habits in the general or a highly specific demographic [1]. Nutrition education is widely available, including schools, governments, and health promotion organizations presenting a variety of nutrition-related lessons. Mostly in developed nations, members of the community are educated on dietary standards or basic food group intake [2]. Inside this is also readily available to avoid or treat

lifestyle disorders including diabetes, CVD, or cancer. Despite the broad extent of nutrition education activities, it's somewhat unexpected that little research has examined the degree of nutrition knowledge in public at large or additional particular community populations, in addition influence of nutrition knowledge on food consumption remains largely unknown [3]. A wide range of variables impacts dietary consumption, including flavor, accessibility, food cost, and stability, as well as cultural or religious convictions. Age, gender, degree of education, and socioeconomic background are all known to impact nutrition knowledge. Women have greater levels of nutrition knowledge than males, which has been ascribed due to their more dominating position in food purchase and production, as well as men's lesser interest in nutrition [4]. Better amounts of nutrition knowledge were recorded in individuals through higher education or socioeconomic position, and middle-aged people had elevated amounts of nutrition knowledge than younger or older people [5]. These demographic characteristics also have an impact on nutritional consumption [6]. Nevertheless, a better comprehension of the connection among nutrition knowledge and food consumption is critical, as new data suggests a clear correlation among inadequate health literacy, unfortunate administration of continuing diseases, and higher health expenses [7-16]. Though nutrition knowledge is only one aspect of overall literate, it represents a critical issue since inadequate food intake is closely connected to all of the main lifestyle illnesses and accounts for the bulk of health expenses in developed nations. Nutrition knowledge evaluation is difficult. The majority of research has employed written questionnaires, however many of them have little or no confirmation [18]. Reactions are strongly reliant on respondent literacy, which is more constrained in people having lower educational levels and socioeconomic positions. Knowledge of nutrition data, or factual memory, may not convert into skill or learning progress, or the capacity option of choosing healthier meals, interpreting food labels, or choosing better alternatives from variety of foods accessible. Nutrition knowledge tools that measure declaratory nutrition ideas can well be unrelated to range of knowledge also services necessary to make optimal health-promoting dietary decisions [19-22]. This concept emphasizes having nutrition knowledge and abilities that are applicable to dietary choices. Dietary consumption, like nutrition knowledge, is hard to quantify, especially in populations large and powerful enough then to uncover meaningful relationships amid those variables [23]. FFQ is the most effective, cost-effective, and practicable approach for measuring food intake on a broad scale, including micronutrients. This approach, though, has precision limits. In massive population-based studies, interview or recall procedures, mainly 20-hour multiple-pass recollections, remain nowadays the preferred option. However, this procedure is time-consuming [24-28]. Rather than evaluating nutritional reference values, dietary intakes taken from FFQ or 20 h recollection information have lately been employed to develop the diet quality score or index that gives an analysis of regularity of food intakes following dietary recommendations. Persons with large energy intakes may help complete nutritional benchmarks while not following dietary requirements. FFQ is the most effective, cost-effective, and practicable approach for measuring food intake on a broad scale, including micronutrients [29]. This approach, though, has precision limits. In massive population-based studies, interview or recall procedures, mainly 20-hour multiple-pass recollections, remain today the preferred option. However, this procedure is time-consuming. Rather than evaluating nutritional guideline values, dietary intakes taken from FFQ or 20 h memory information have lately been employed to develop the diet quality score or index that gives an analysis of regularity of food intakes following dietary recommendations. Persons with large energy intakes may help complete nutritional benchmarks while not following dietary requirements [30].

#### **METHODOLOGY:**

One investigator did systematic research by using keywords nutrition knowledge, diet knowledge, food knowledge and energy intake, feeding pattern, diet, feeding, nutritional intake, food consumption, and dietary intake from beginning of time until May 2021. To uncover additional research overlooked by

database searching, the hand search of orientation lists of examined publications remained done. For assessment, original empirical studies (containing randomized clinical trials, cross-sectional and virtual-experimental methods) done in mature (average age \$17 years) human volunteers also released in the peer-reviewed journal involved considered. Summaries, assessments, dissertations, and research papers were not eligible. There were investigations in all subpopulations and reported in any language. The use of an application that offered a comparative measurement of nutrition knowledge through publication of the respondent score was necessary for investigations. A quantitative evaluation of dietary intake was indeed necessary, although this might be reported as intake of one or even more nutrients, servings of certain or altogether key items, or a diet quality score or index. Publications remained similarly expected to use the statistical study to investigate the relationship among nutrition knowledge and food consumption. Validation was not required for tools utilized to evaluate nutrition knowledge or food consumption. After removing duplicates, one examiner deleted unnecessary content based on title and abstract. Two reviewers individually screened the entire text of relevant papers using the admission requirements (Fig. 1), and information was simultaneously retrieved through two independent reviewers. Participants also research attributes (gender, age, inhabitants, statistical significance, country, also sampling techniques) were obtained, as were facts on instruments was using to evaluate nutrition knowledge (amount also kinds of substances, instrument design, reaction formats, general or particular information slow, and validation) (Tables 1 and 2) in addition kind and validity of dietary assessment done. The results of a statistical study examining the relationship among nutrition knowledge and food consumption remained similarly collected. Disagreements on article removal, insertion, or data extraction have been handled by a conversation through such a third researcher. The data from the research was found too diverse to be pooled for meta-analysis, particularly in terms of the tools and/or methodologies employed to gather nutrition knowledge and food consumption data. Two researchers individually rated the study's quality by means of the customized version of Downs and Black scale. The factor structure has 29 items that assess data reporting, level of precision, in addition external and internal validity (with partiality also confusing). Only nine of twenty-six initial criteria properly apply to the research designs considered in this evaluation. Item 21, which investigated the reliability also rationality of primary result measures, remained enhanced to additional thoroughly analyze the quality of validation of instruments or methodologies utilized to evaluate nutrition knowledge also food intake. As the consequence, the highest achievable score was 17 points. A maximum of two points were given for food intake evaluation, one point for selecting an acceptable technique, and one point for proper application. Differences of opinion were settled with the help of a third researcher.

## **RESULTS:**

A first search yielded 2 197 400 possibly related articles. After removing duplicates and manuscripts grounded on exclusion standards, twenty-three papers remained chosen for evaluation (Fig. 1). The majority of the articles were released in English. Twenty-two of the twenty-nine publications selected for evaluation were undertaken in public inhabitants (Table 1), and eight in sports groups (Table 2). Of the twenty-three-research done in community samples, seventeen evaluated general community participants, and seven evaluated university student groups (Table 1). Half of investigations remained completed afterwards 2020. The total number of participants ranged from 41 to 11 289. The popular remained mixed-gender studies, with four done entirely on females also one only on males; one study unsuccessful to determine gender of the respondents. Respondents with low socioeconomic levels were underrepresented, and numerous research neglected to disclose this demographic trait. Eighteen of twenty-two studies utilized a written questionnaire to assess nutrition knowledge, one used an Internet-based survey, and the rest used interviews. The instruments largely tested broad nutrition principles such as understanding dietary rules, nutrient sources and functions, t ability to choose healthier foods, and

nutrition misconceptions. The different minority assessed knowledge of particular nutrition topics such as nutrition for preventive medicine (n 2), dietary fat sources (n 4), diet-disease correlations (n 1), and CHD danger (n 1). (n 1). The amount of elements covered in each instrument ranged from four to 115. Eight of twenty-nine included papers used an athletic community ranging from elite to leisure athletes. The majority of the research was done between 1999 and 2013, with one survey performed before 1999 and one after 2015. Each research had fourteen to 124 people ranging in age from 17 to 29 years; though, one research comprised the reference example through individuals ranging in age from 14 to 64 years. One study included just male subjects, three had exclusively female respondents, and three had a mixed-sex sample. Only thirteen research found no statistically significant association (Table 2). The relationships were usually modest (r, 06), through most studies reporting the positive link among increased nutrition education and greater vegetable consumption (n 12) and fruit (n 11), as well as the lesser intake of fat (n 8). Greater nutrition knowledge was shown to be associated with a higher intake of cereals or fish, lesser consumption of sweetened drinks, a higher intake of fiber or Ca intake, also an increased intake of several basic food categories more in accordance with public health standards (Table 1). The investigations received a mean of 13 out of 18 points. The average score for research reportage quality, overall shape rationality, also research methodology remained 85 points out of 11 points. The validity of nutrition knowledge measures received a mean score of 36 out of 5 points, whereas evaluation of dietary intake received a weighted mean of 13 out of 2 points (range 1–2). The inability to select generalizable results and account for complicating characteristics such as age, gender and level of education were major flaws in research quality. A few of the research also lacked relevant analysis procedures and reporting of real P values or variability predictions.

**Table 1:**

NK Level	Subjective			Total
	High	Medium	Low	
<b>Aim</b>				
High (<37)	7.1%	9.9%	19.6%	36.5%
Medium (38-50)	5.2%	7.9%	25.1%	28.2%
Low < 52	2.1%	6.9%	25.2%	34.2
Total	14.4%	23.7%	69.8%	100.0%

**Table 2:**

Demographics	N=	Percentage
Female	114	20.3%
Male	480	79.7%
<b>Age Set</b>		
17-36	57	11.1%
37-40	142	26.3%
41-46	190	34.9%
47-51	136	25.3%
52 above	34	7.9%

**DISCUSSION:**

The current research observed at suggestion among nutrition knowledge also food ingesting in adults.

Despite the fact that it appears to be both essential and crucial to explore the influence of nutrition knowledge on food intake, our current subject has gotten little research attention [31]. Thirty selected documents were found, twenty-three of which were undertaken in public populaces and eight in athlete populations. The majority of research found significant, positive, but weak ( $r, 06$ ) relationships among nutrition knowledge and specific components of food consumption, most often a greater intake of fruits also vegetables [32]. Regrettably, publications that informed the current comprehensive study were of varying quality, and few utilized nutrition knowledge measures that have been confirmed to use the five primary types of validating used to help us determine in the current analysis. Only four research employed reliable nutrition knowledge and food intake measurements [33]. Because nutrition education is widely available to the public and signifies the substantial expenditure through schools, governments, and health organizations, current, high-quality investigations on connection among nutrition knowledge as well as dietary intake are needed to assess and mentor such measures in the coming years [34]. Even though many variables impact dietary intake, such as taste, convenience, food costs, and cultural also religious views, nutrition education initiatives attempt to enhance understanding and hence favorably affect dietary intake. The severe dearth of well-designed, current studies in our current part flops to investigate role of nutrition knowledge amongst some of the aforementioned elements and a variety of other factors that could affect food intake [35]. Despite the obvious that some basic nutrition knowledge is required to lead food choices, nutrition education programs that focus solely on truths or so-called declaratory knowledge somewhat than on learning progress or technical knowledge might remain fewer efficient at eliciting positive dietary change [36]. Considerably research has failed to isolate impact of certain parts of nutrition knowledge on important dietary consequences. Nevertheless, research that informed the current analysis that utilized nutrition knowledge measures that had completed greater comprehensive validation or had reliable and adequate good nutrition discovered substantial, favorable relationships among nutrition knowledge and food intake greater frequency [37]. The absence of well-legalized measures to evaluate nutrition knowledge is the significant constraint, but it is also the challenge to overcome because instruments must represent current nutrition knowledge and recommendations, which are continually developing. They must also be sensitive to the culture which could be difficult when analyzing people of mixed ethnicity. Over the last decade, there has been a growing emphasis on the necessity of 'health literacy,' an umbrella phrase that includes dietary knowledge. A sufficient degree of health literacy allows a person to read, compute, and apply health-related spoken or written information. As a result, strong health literacy allows someone to respond in their best interests. A previous Australian study found that individuals who had low health literacy were much more probable to have experienced diabetes, heart disease, or stroke, and they were also more prone that they had been taken to the hospital [38]. These unfavorable health consequences associated with low levels of health literacy were found in additional nations as well. Emerging research suggests that the degree of patient education may well be lower than anticipated, particularly the latest research from Spain and England revealing that a significant proportion of the population (nearly 51% in certain cases) has weak health literacy. According to this study, for every one point gained in health literacy, the US Agriculture Department's Healthy Eating Index value increased by 123 points. However, despite recent advances in health literacy research, there are relatively few investigations that precisely examine whether various types of nutrition abilities and knowledge impact food consumption and health [39]. Difficulty to examine the print and arithmetic literacy of a tool remained used to assess nutrition knowledge or food intake (if written evaluation is utilized) clearly restricts the capability to measure results, since this is complicated by an incapacity to read and interpret the items. However, it is obvious that inadequate literacy is also a significant barrier to learning nutrition information, selecting and adopting a balanced diet, and making other beneficial health decisions. Though several of the research used to inform this evaluation completed

pilot testing of the nutrition knowledge measure, none of them expressly examined the degree of health literacy necessary for completion. Pilot testing was frequently conducted on a small sample consisting that was not clearly characterized and may not have replicated the demographic (and likely literacy) features of the larger community of respondents. Food label reading evaluation is one facet of nutrition knowledge that is either missing or under-represented in the questionnaires used in the considered research. Item descriptions did not contain food label reading evaluation, which would appear to be a critical element of nutrition knowledge, specifically got the knowledge necessary to make educated food choices. An absence of consensus regarding what must remain comprised inside the tools meant to evaluate nutrition knowledge is particularly troublesome for systematic reviews since pooling data for meta-analysis remains invalid whenever result measurement is variable. The relationship between nutrition knowledge and food consumption was frequently not understood or explored. It would seem logical that potential devices are including objects that investigate knowledge and understanding of dietary guidelines, as well as assessments of actual skills such as suggested portion sizes of fundamental foods also how to choose foods to important health characteristics (e.g., these lesser in fat or salt) through having read the food label [40].

### CONCLUSION:

Finally, the current study create very modest, positive relationship among nutrition knowledge and food consumption. Nevertheless, the data is of low quality, and future research will need usage of well-intended and verified tools to measure nutrition knowledge and food consumption. Those tools should determine the degree of health literacy essential for the conclusion also remain created through idea that our current research might be poor or restricted in the large percentage of the population. This appears implied that elements associated to nutrition knowledge evaluation in community population are related to basic proofs and abilities required for diet choices. Logically, this must include, at very least, knowledge also awareness of dietary requirements, the quantities of food categories required to sustain health, in addition ability to distinguish among food products by reading a food label. Connecting nutrition knowledge to eating practices, diet quality scores, or indicators that try to measure conformity to dietary recommendations must thus appear to be the most successful way of examining suggestion among nutrition knowledge also food intake.

### REFERENCES:

- Arimond, M., D. Wiesmann, E. Becquey, A. Carriquiry, M. C. Daniels, M. Deitchler, N. Fanou-Fogny, M. L. Joseph, G. Kennedy, Y. Martin-Prevel, et al. 2010. Simple food group diversity indicators predict micronutrient adequacy of women's diets in 5 diverse, resource-poor settings. *The Journal of Nutrition* 140 (11):2059S–69S. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.110.123414>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
- Barabási, A. L., G. Menichetti, and J. Loscalzo. 2020. The unmapped chemical complexity of our diet. *Nature Food* 1 (1):33–7. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-019-0005-1>. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
- Barnes, K., T. Collins, S. Dion, H. Reynolds, S. Riess, A. Stanzyk, A. Wolfe, S. Lonergan, P. Boettcher, U. R. Charrondiere, et al. 2012. Importance of cattle biodiversity and its influence on the nutrient composition of beef. *Animal Frontiers* 2 (4):54–60. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2012-0062>. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
- Baye, K., K. Hirvonen, M. Dereje, and R. Remans. 2019. Energy and nutrient production in Ethiopia, 2011-2015: Implications to supporting healthy diets and food systems. *PLoS One* 14 (3):e0213182. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213182>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Google Scholar]
- Béné, C., J. Fanzo, S. D. Prager, H. A. Achicanoy, B. R. Mapes, P. Alvarez Toro, and C. Bonilla Cedrez. 2020. Global drivers of food system (un)sustainability: A multi-country correlation analysis. *PLoS One* 15 (4):e0231071. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231071>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Google Scholar]

- Bernhardt, J. R, and M. I. O'Connor. 2021. Aquatic biodiversity enhances multiple nutritional benefits to humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 118 (15):1–11. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1917487118>. [Google Scholar]
- Berti, P. R. 2015. Relationship between production diversity and dietary diversity depends on how number of foods is counted. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112 (42):E5656. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1517006112>. [PubMed], [Google Scholar]
- Berti, P. R, and A. D. Jones. 2013. Biodiversity's contribution to dietary diversity: Magnitude, meaning and measurement. In *Diversifying food and diets: Using agricultural biodiversity to improve nutrition and health*, eds. J. Fanzo, D. Hunter, T. Borelli, and F. Mattei, vol. 21. 186–206. Rome, Italy: Bioersivity International. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203127261>. [Google Scholar]
- Bhupathiraju, S. N., N. M. Wedick, A. Pan, J. E. Manson, K. M. Rexrode, W. C. Willett, E. B. Rimm, and F. B. Hu. 2013. Quantity and variety in fruit and vegetable intake and risk of coronary heart disease1-3. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 98 (6):1514–23. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.113.066381>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
- Boedecker, J., F. O. Odour, C. Lachat, P. Van Damme, G. Kennedy, and C. Termote. 2019. Participatory farm diversification and nutrition education increase dietary diversity in Western Kenya. *Maternal and Child Nutrition* 15 (3):e12803. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.12803>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Google Scholar]
- Bogard, J. R., G. C. Marks, S. Wood, and S. H. Thilsted. 2018. Measuring nutritional quality of agricultural production systems: Application to fish production. *Global Food Security* 16 (March 2018):54–64. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2017.09.004>. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
- Borkotoky, K., S. Unisa, and A. K. Gupta. 2018. State-level dietary diversity as a contextual determinant of nutritional status of children in India: A multilevel approach. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 50 (1):26–52. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021932017000013>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Google Scholar]
- Burlingame, B., R. Charrondiere, and B. Mouille. 2009. Food composition is fundamental to the cross-cutting initiative on biodiversity for food and nutrition. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* 22 (5):361–5. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2009.05.003>. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
- Burlingame, B., B. Mouillé, and R. Charrondière. 2009. Nutrients, bioactive non-nutrients and anti-nutrients in potatoes. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* 22 (6):494–502. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2009.09.001>. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
- Charrondière, U.R., B. Stadlmayr, D. Rittenschober, B. Mouille, E. Nilsson, E. Medhammar, T. Olango, S. Eis enwagen, D. Persijn, K. Ebanks, et al. 2013. FAO/INFOODS food composition database for biodiversity. *Food Chemistry* 140 (3):408–12. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.08.049>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
- Chaudhary, A., D. Gustafson, and A. Mathys. 2018. Multi-indicator sustainability assessment of global food systems. *Nature Communications* 9 (1):848. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03308-7>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Google Scholar]
- Chegere, M. J, and J. Stage. 2020. Agricultural production diversity, dietary diversity and nutritional status: Panel data evidence from Tanzania. *World Development* 129 (May 2020):104856. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104856>. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
- Choudhury, S., D. D. Headey, and W. A. Masters. 2019. First foods: Diet quality among infants aged 6-23 months in 42 countries. *Food Policy* 88:101762. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2019.101762>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Google Scholar]
- Clark, M. A., M. Springmann, J. Hill, and D. Tilman. 2019. Multiple health and environmental impacts of foods. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 116 (46):23357–62. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906908116>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]

- Da Silva, A. L., and A. Begossi. 2009. Biodiversity, food consumption and ecological niche dimension: A study case of the riverine populations from the Rio Negro, Amazonia, Brazil. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 11 (3):489–507. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-007-9126-z>. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
- Dale, J., A. James, J.-Y. Paul, H. Khanna, M. Smith, S. Peraza-Echeverria, F. Garcia-Bastidas, G. Kema, P. Waterhouse, K. Mengersen, et al. 2017. Transgenic Cavendish bananas with resistance to Fusarium wilt tropical race 4. *Nature Communications* 8 (1):1496. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01670-6>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Google Scholar]
- Daly, A. J., J. M. Baetens, and B. De Baets. 2018. Ecological diversity: Measuring the unmeasurable. *Mathematics* 6 (7):119. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/math6070119>. [Crossref], [Web of Science <sup>®</sup>], [Google Scholar]
- Davydov, D, and S. Weber. 2016. A simple characterization of the family of diversity indices. *Economics Letters* 147:121–3. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2016.08.036>. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
- DeClerck, F., J. Fanzo, C. Palm, and R. Remans. 2011. Ecological approaches to human nutrition. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 32 (1 Suppl):S41–S50. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/15648265110321S106>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Google Scholar]
- Díaz, S.J. Settele, E. Brondízio, H. T. Ngo, M. Guèze, J. Agard, A. Arneth, et al. 2019. Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. Bonn, Germany. [https://ipbes.net/system/tdf/ipbes\\_global\\_assessment\\_report\\_summary\\_for\\_policymakers.pdf?file=1&type=node&id=35329](https://ipbes.net/system/tdf/ipbes_global_assessment_report_summary_for_policymakers.pdf?file=1&type=node&id=35329). [Google Scholar]
- Dirzo, R., H. S. Young, M. Galetti, G. Ceballos, N. J. B. Isaac, and B. Collen. 2014. Defaunation in the Anthropocene. *Science* 345 (6195):401–6. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251817>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Web of Science <sup>®</sup>], [Google Scholar]
- Drescher, L. S., S. Thiele, and G. B. M. Mensink. 2007. A new index to measure healthy food diversity better reflects a healthy diet than traditional measures. *The Journal of Nutrition* 137 (3):647–51. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/137.3.647>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Web of Science <sup>®</sup>], [Google Scholar]
- Drewnowski, A., S. Renderson, A. Driscoll, and B. Rolls. 1997. The dietary variety score: Assessing diet quality in healthy young and older adults. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 97 (3):266–71. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-8223\(97\)00070-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-8223(97)00070-9). [Crossref], [PubMed], [Web of Science <sup>®</sup>], [Google Scholar]
- Fischer, C. G, and T. Garnett. 2016. Plates, pyramids, planet: Developments in national healthy and sustainable dietary guidelines: A state of play assessment. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and The Food Climate Research Network at The University of Oxford. [Google Scholar]
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2010. The second report on the State of the World’s Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Rome, Italy: FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/i1500e/i1500e.pdf>. [Google Scholar]
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2015. The second report on the State of the World’s Animal Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Rome, Italy: FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. [www.fao.org/publications](http://www.fao.org/publications). [Google Scholar]
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2019. The State of the World’s Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture, ed. J. Bélanger and D. Pilling. Rome: FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. [Google Scholar]
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2021. Minimum dietary diversity for women. An updated guide for measurement: From collection to action. Rome, Italy: FAO. [Google Scholar]



- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, and Bioversity International. 2017. Guidelines on assessing biodiverse foods in dietary intake surveys. Rome, Italy: FAO. [Google Scholar]
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, and World Health Organization. 2020. Sustainable healthy diets: Guiding principles. Rome: FAO. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca6640en>. [Google Scholar]
- Fung, T. T., S. Isanaka, F. B. Hu, and W. C. Willett. 2018. International food group-based diet quality and risk of coronary heart disease in men and women. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 107 (1):120–9. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqx015>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Google Scholar]
- Golden, C. D., L. C. H. Fernald, J. S. Brashares, B. J. Rodolph Rasolofoniaina, and C. Kremen. 2011. Benefits of wildlife consumption to child nutrition in a biodiversity hotspot. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108 (49):19653–6. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1112586108>. [Crossref], [PubMed], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
- Green, A., T. Nemecek, S. Smetana, and A. Mathys. 2021. Reconciling regionally-explicit nutritional needs with environmental protection by means of nutritional life cycle assessment. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 312 (August 2021):127696. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127696>. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
- Gustafson, D., A. Gutman, W. Leet, A. Drewnowski, J. Fanzo, and J. Ingram. 2016. Seven food system metrics of sustainable nutrition security. *Sustainability* 8 (3):196. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su8030196>. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
- Hanley-Cook, G. T., A. Argaw, P. Dahal, S. Chitekwe, and P. Kolsteren. 2020. Infant and young child feeding practices and child linear growth in Nepal: Regression–decomposition analysis of national survey data, 1996–2016. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* 18(Suppl 1):e12911. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.12911>. [PubMed], [Google Scholar]