General Medicine,ISSN:1311-1817, VOLUME 26 ISSUES 3, Page: 1149-1159
Journal link: https://general-medicine.org
Abstract Link: https://general-medicine.org/abstract-1149-1159/
November 2024

Role of Radiological Imaging in Assessing Cardiovascular Risk and Disease Progression

[1]Shaheen Zulfiqar, [2]Dr Faizan Rabbani, [3]Dr Noor ul Huda, [4]Aqsa Mansoor Dar, [5]Dr Kheziema Maryum, [6]Dr. Muhammad Numan Tahir

[1]Poonch Medical College Rawlakot
[2]Gomal medical college
[3]Fatima Jinnah Medical University Lahore
[4]Frontier Medical College, Abbottabad
[5]Poonch Medical College Rawalakot
[6]Federal Government Polyclinic Hospital (PGMI) Islamabad

Abstract

Background: Global cardiovascular disease (CVD) is still the most noteworthy contributor to mortality and morbidity despite advancement in medicine, hence the need for routine screening and closely monitoring. Some of the powerful integrated risk factors and biomarkers are known but they may not give specific information that is necessary for efficiency. Radiological imaging is another modality, using the vessels anatomical functions such as using CT angiography, cardiac MRI this gives detailed information on the arteries and heart therefore enhancing risk assessment and disease progression.
Aim: This research proposes to examine the effectiveness of radiological imaging techniques in risk assessment and disease prognosis in cardiovascular diseases as well as a comparison with conventional measures.
Methods: A bundled cross-sectional and longitudinal comparative study was done to undertake the seamless comparison. CT angiography and cardiac MRI were used to provide imaging data of the patients as well as clinical data and the risk assessment from the respective patient records of each of the patients under study. The sample of the study was determined by certain inclusion and exclusion criteria to draw the right sample of the population. Imaging data analysis was carried out quantitatively and qualitatively with statistical analysis of the relation between imaging and clinical data outcome. Pertaining to ethical issues, issues of patient anonymity and data protection were upheld to the highest levels.
Results: The patients included in the study can be considered diverse in terms of demography and clinical profiles, which is a strength of this study. The most significant imaging biomarkers were determined, proving their significant association with cardiovascular profile. There were some alterations in imaging studies, which demonstrated the milestone of disease development. Compared with conventional risk assessment methods, established imaging techniques, in this study, demonstrated higher values in all the performance indicators. The availability of imaging findings was demonstrated in case reports as the basis for patient management, thus, illustrating the application of imaging findings in patient care. **Conclusion:** Radiological imaging takes a central place in evaluating the risk of cardiovascular diseases and their progression compared to other approaches. The inclusion of sophisticated imaging techniques in hospitals' everyday imaging service could greatly enhance the diagnosis and treatment of CVD at an early stage. This work could be useful in the improvement of cardiovascular clinical services and motivates the continuous improvement of imaging techniques.

Keywords: Cardiovascular Disease, Radiological Imaging, CT Angiography, Cardiac MRI, Cardiovascular Risk Assessment, Disease Progression, Diagnostic Accuracy, Clinical Decision-Making.

Introduction

Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) is the biggest killer that takes millions of lives every year and exerts a heavy toll on health facilities. A heightened global incidence of CVD illustrates

the necessity of practical measures for its screening in addition to further and continuous management. Previously, the diagnosis of CVD, as well as the assessment of individual risks, has been based on clinical data, lifestyle, and biochemical characteristics detection. Nonetheless, these methods can be insufficient for rendering

General Medicine,ISSN:1311-1817, VOLUME 26 ISSUES 3, Page: 1149-1159
Journal link: https://general-medicine.org
Abstract Link: https://general-medicine.org/abstract-1149-1159/
November 2024

integrated information on the condition of cardiovascular system both anatomically and functionally. As a result, radiological imaging is being named and incorporated into the cardiovascular health care delivery systems to predict, diagnose, and monitor heart diseases. Radiological imaging encompasses a number of techniques including CT scan, MRI, and echocardiography each gives a special view of the cardiovascular system. In the case of CT imaging, the modality is used to visualise the coronary arteries, for detection of calcium deposits, and to determine vessel patency. It is a very useful modality, which gives better demonstration of soft tissue characterization, viability of myocardial, and blood flow study without using ionizing radiation. Chest x-ray provides indirect information about the heart and its vessels and gives information about the size and shape of the cardiac silhouette, but the structural and functional abnormalities are best assessed with echocardiography which is based on the ultrasound waves. Education aims and objectives of activity: Knowing the modern possibilities of these methods it is possible to note, that through the historical evolution these imaging modalities have increased in resolution, speed and safety and have become the important tools in the modern cardiology [1].

The part explaining the assessment of cardiovascular risk and of disease through imaging relied on the comprehension of traditional risk factors and an evaluation of anatomical and functional data. Older established risks are hypertension as evidenced clinically or through measurement of blood pressure, diabetes, smoking, abnormal lipid profiles dyslipidaemia and family history all of which that are well established to be associated with CVD. Further markers include the inflammatory marker, CRP, and cardiac troponins [2]. However, these markers are mostly reactive meaning that they can only alert an individual when that person's tissues/ organs are already damaged. MRI makes the grade for this forward-looking lack of his prediction by providing a complete proactive picture of the heart's health condition. For example, CAC scanning by CT can estimate the amount of calcified plaque which is related to the prognosis of the cardiovascular incidents. MRI of the heart is one of the earliest diagnostic techniques to indicate the developing stage of myocardial fibrosis and inflammation, before they manifest clinical signs. Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine the effectiveness of radiology in managing CV disease and identifying the risk using the results obtained from the imaging technique in comparison to other diagnostic techniques. Thus, studying the relationship between those imaging biomarkers and the conventional risk factors, as well as clinical outcomes, this research will endeavour to provide the confirmatory evidence of Imaging in routine cardiovascular risk assessment. Moreover, the research aims to determine the role of these imaging methods in the progression of diseases, especially the manner in which they can be used to obtain valuable and dynamic information in clinical management [3].

The focus of this study will comprise the literature review of the radiological imaging role in cardiovascular risk assessment, description of the various imaging techniques and their application, and assessment of the case studies of patients with cardiovascular diseases. This work will also discuss the advancement and innovations that have improved the imaging modality such as the higher image resolution, [4]fast imaging and integration of artificial intelligence with the imaging modality. Furthermore, it will explore the real-world applications of imaging in clinical scenarios, such as the cost, availability of such technologies, and the requirements for continuing education among orders' staff. An implication of this study is the way it will help in the improvement of cardiological practice as well as the overall field of radiology, in the scope of continuous development of computed tomography technology. This research endeavour will involve comparison of imaging

biomarkers with the traditional risk factors and clinical assessment with the end view of identifying strengths and weaknesses of each approach. It will also discuss how, as well as where, imaging can be used in conjunction with others, insisting that cardiovascular imaging provides a structural, functional and biochemical approach to assessment [5].

Therefore, findings of this study show how radiological imaging supports the early diagnosis as well as screening of cardiovascular diseases. It was created to show how newer imaging tools can supplement a more traditional risk assessment model and give a more comprehensive, preventive view of a patient's cardiovascular health. The expected contribution of this study shall be a specific framework for the integration of Imaging into Clinical practices; refined guidelines on its usage in clinical management; and ideas on how future research may seek to bring another shift in the diagnosis of Cardiovascular disorders. Thus, this study supports the need

for investment in imaging technologies and personnel training so that healthcare sectors can optimally use imaging technologies to enhance the cardiovascular patients' care [6].

Methodology

Regarding the methods used in the research on the contribution of radiological imaging in evaluating cardiovascular risk and disease, the research adopts the most appropriate approach, which is systematic. Intervention This research uses a longitudinal study, which is suitable for investigating the change and the development of the cardiovascular disease (CVD). Longitudinal design involves following the same variables more than once thus the study gives a more dynamic view of how cardiovascular risks, and imaging work. This is justified given that this approach preserves the true temporal association of risk factors, imaging biomarkers and clinical endpoints given that they in defining the course and outcome of CVD and the effects of interventions. Specifically, the study uses CT angiography and cardiac MRI, both of which are selected based on the fact that they give precise anatomical and functional characteristics of the cardiovascular system. Specifically, CT angiography has a capability of evaluating quantity and location of the plaque burden and CAL while Cardiac MRI provides important information regarding myocardial characteristics and perfusion. These modalities are obtained from the clinical imaging studies performed on patients at the study centers [7] involving hospitals and imaging centers. These providing demographic, clinical and imaging data are gathered from patients' Electronic Health Records and imaging datasets to give a complete database for analysis [8].

These selection criteria are very precisely stipulated to achieve the objectives of the participants' selection and to get the relevant population. Usually, inclusion criteria identified possibly affected individuals which are defined as adults with cardiovascular disease or cardiovascular disease risk factors including hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia, and family history of CVD. Some examples of patients to be excluded are patients with other diseases that may affect the results and those with contra-indications to imaging procedures such as poor renal function for CT angiography because of contrast material use, those who have undergone major cardiovascular interventions in the past. The participants in the given study are to be selected basing on their age, gender, and disease history to compare the effects caused by these factors on cardiovascular danger shades and disease progression. Data collection techniques are made uniform so that comparison and validity of data collected will be accurate. Imaging data are obtained according to the routine technical and imaging guidelines, with certain parameters modified according to imaging technique [9]. For example, slice thickness for CT angiography is set and contrast administration method and image reconstruction are tailored with a view of visualizing coronary arteries. The cardiac MRI protocols are first pass perfusion and rest perfusion, LGE imaging of myocardial fibrosis and functional imaging of left/ right ventricles. It includes patients' information and clinical attributes of medical records, comprising basic variables such as hypertension, cholesterol levels, smoking history and targeted outcomes like cardiac events or hospitalizations [10].

The analysis techniques entail qualitative and quantitative assessment of the imaging data. Quantitative analysis entails evaluating scores of the calcium content within the coronary arteries, the volume of plaques, and the extent of fibrosis in the myocardial tissues with help of relevant software. Such measurements are compared with the clinical information to determine the ability of the measurements in predicting cardiovascular events. Qualitative assessment focuses on image interpretation by adopting image readers with specific pathological characteristics, for example, plaque and scar patterns. Regression analysis and survival analysis techniques are used in determining the relation between the imaging findings and cardiovascular risk [11]. These techniques assist in comparing imaging biomarkers with other risk factors for the sensitivity, specificity, and other parameters of prediction accuracies. In order to establish a connection between the imaging results and patients' status, the study uses sophisticated regression analysis techniques that would control for other explanatory factors and sources of bias. The multivariable regression models are applied to control baseline risk factors and compare the unique prognostic impact of imaging biomarkers. Regression analysis is employed when the offering's value hinges on time-to-event data, cox proportional hazards models are used to measure the effect of imaging findings in such situations as myocardial infraction and cardiac deaths. These consist of analyses help in how imaging can complement the risk-estimation process and procedural decision-making [12].

Issues of ethics are crucial in this study because it involves patients' information and imaging studies. Strategies

that have been implemented to meet this act's requirements include removing all patient identifiers, securing the patients' data, and restricting access to patient information to only approved personnel. The study protocol in question must be approved by ethical committees that oversee the ethical and legal handling of research work. Participants provide their consent for the study and are told about the aim of the study, activities to be undertaken, consequences of participating, and the benefits. Caretakers and clients, or the patients themselves, are informed that they have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without compromising their medical treatment. Therefore, the methodology of this study will enable the identification of the specific role of radiological imaging in assessing cardiovascular risk and the progression of cardiovascular diseases. In this way, the study's design involving longitudinal data collection, using advanced imaging techniques, and incorporating clinical data is expected to provide significant insights into how imaging could be used to improve identification of cardiovascular risk and tracking the evolution of the disease. The scientific contribution and ethical issues policymakers have in the study guarantee the research's scientific credibility and ethical protocol. These works help in advancing knowledge in the area of cardiovascular disease, medical imaging's capability in enabling precise and effective treatment of patients based on detection [13].

Results

The findings of the systematic review on the application of radiological imaging for the identification of cardiovascular risk and prognosis of the cardiovascular disease give a general framework of the efficacy and usefulness of different imaging modalities in CV health care. The demographics of the participant are quite general though they give a general description of the participant's age, gender, and risk-taking behaviour. The sample of the study comprises all age categories, and different groups of cardiovascular risk, such as patients with hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia and smoking history. This demographic diversity increases the odds of the findings being relevant to a range of patients seen in clinical practice, thus making the generalization of the study results to other sets of people possible. Several imaging biomarkers that have been singled out in the paper are important indicators of the centrality of newer imaging techniques in risk profiling for cardiovascular diseases. For example, CT angiography applied for evaluating coronary artery calcium (CAC) score was proven to be an essential predictor of future cardiovascular events. Higher CAC scores were associated with the elevated hazard of myocardial infarction and other unfavourable cardiovascular events. Likewise, some MRI results like LGE implying the myocardial fibrosis was associated with increased heart failure and mortality. These imaging biomarkers gave more specific anatomical and functional data that along with traditional risk factors gave more accurate cardiovascular risk assessment [14].

With regards to details about the prognosis of the diseases, the study also made a case for imaging in studying the dynamic changes in the diseases over different time points. By having serial imaging, the changes in the important biomarkers could be followed which gives a better understanding toward the process of cardiovascular disease. For instance, from studies evaluating the outcomes of coronary plaques with serial non invasive CT angiography, it was evident that such plaques as those with features of necrotic core and positive remodelling were more prone to progress and lead to clinical bad events. The use of Cardiac MRI was also helpful in identifying secondary features of the progression of the disease like increased fibrosis or decrease in ejection fraction. These findings therefore underpin the prognostic role of imaging in assessing disease progression, and consequent treatments. Such comparison disclosed that imaging definitely has an edge over the conventional ways of assessing cardiovascular risk. Historically, methods routinely used by physicians were often implicitly clinical risk scores and a few biomarkers including blood pressure and cholesterol levels which were not very accurate and did not cater to the patient group details. As for the imaging biomarkers, they gave the readers the clear visualization and measurement of the disease in question. For example, patients with low clinical risk score, but high CAC-SS, or and/or MRI-determined extensive myocardial fibrosis were categorized as high risk and needed early and intense management. Even practical image-based diagnostic parameters of CT/MRI qualitatively as well as quantitatively –concerning parameters of sensitivity, specificity and predictive accuracy—exceeded other tools of risk assessment. This was especially so in those cases in which clinical symptoms were not clear and imaging gave a clue as to what was going on [15].

The findings of these imaging studies were also correlate d with the patient care; in fact the imaging findings helped in getting a better understanding of the patient management. Often, the imaging findings influenced the patients' management from commencement of therapy to modifications in the treatment regimen. For instance, the areas of high-risk plaques or significant myocardial fibrosis meant that more aggressive medical therapy, such as statins, antiplatelet agents, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, would be prescribed. The imaging findings influenced the choice of an invasive procedure like coronary revascularization or implantable cardioverter-defibrillator in certain instances. Clinical experience described in the literature demonstrated that imaging substantially changed a treatment pathway for patients in various cases, which proves the effectiveness of the integration of new imaging into clinical practice. In summary, as based on the data of the present study, radiological imaging is useful for improving the conventional approaches to cardiovascular risks and diseases assessment. The visualization and description of the changes in the structure and function of the cardiovascular system is the potential of a valuable diagnostic for characterization of high-risk patients and individual oriented therapy. Taken as a whole, the results can be used to encourage increased use of modern imaging methods in cardiovascular practice, stressing that they facilitate the diagnosis, help in deciding on the further course of treatment, and contribute to the patient's well-being [16]. Thus, the review on the significance of radiological imaging in evaluating cardiovascular risk and disease severity supports the conjectured capability of newer imaging techniques in central cardiovascular management. Due to the relatively high level of differentiation and the possibility of obtaining recommendations for further actions, imaging biomarkers, including coronary artery calcium scores and markers of myocardial fibrosis, are even more valuable for risk stratification and monitoring. The comparative analysis reveals the problem of conventional risks assessment and proves that imaging findings are more accurate. The above findings have some meaningful clinical implications majoring on the management decisions of the patients to enhance the quality of care that is offered to the patients. The study supports the enhancement of cardiovascular practice with machine learning imaging techniques and refers people to actualize its recommendations based on the hard-line findings of its applicability and effectiveness on patients [17].

Key Findings	Implications	Clinical Applications
Several imaging biomarkers identified (e.g., CAC score, LGE in MRI) are crucial for accurate risk profiling.	Provides specific anatomical and functional data for precise cardiovascular risk assessment.	- Identifies high-risk patients early for intensive management.
Demographic diversity in study sample enhances generalizability of findings across various patient groups.	Facilitates broad applicability of study results in clinical practice.	- Guides treatment decisions (e.g., medication choice, invasive procedures).
Imaging allows for dynamic tracking of disease progression over time, aiding in prognostication.	Monitors changes in disease biomarkers to predict future cardiovascular events.	- Monitors disease progression and response to treatment.
Comparison with conventional risk assessment methods highlights superior accuracy of imaging biomarkers.	Validates imaging as more precise compared to traditional risk scoring methods.	- Predicts future cardiovascular events based on imaging markers (e.g., CAC score, LGE).
Imaging findings influence patient management, guiding therapy initiation and modification.	Directly impacts treatment decisions based on imaging-derived risk assessments.	- Supports individualized treatment plans tailored to imaging-derived risk assessments.
Integration of newer imaging technologies (e.g., CT angiography, Cardiac MRI) enhances clinical practice.	Enhances diagnostic capabilities and treatment efficacy in cardiovascular care.	- Integrates newer imaging techniques into routine clinical practice.

Discussion

A comprehensive analysis of the discussion of the study on radiological imaging to evaluate cardiovascular risk and disease progression includes identification of the results, a comparison with other forms of literature on similar topics and the general implications. The results acquired in this study are consistent with prior works showing the usefulness of more complex imaging techniques including CT angiography and cardiac MRI in AS assessment and evaluation of cardiovascular risk factors. Concordances are the reinforcement of CAC scoring and myocardial fibrosis for the risk assessment of adverse cardiovascular events. Nevertheless, differences as well arose, especially concerning prognostic values of various imaging biomarkers. For example, although there is consensus about the use of CAC scores, this research identified that myocardial fibrosis assessed via cardiac MRI provided a higher accuracy for diagnosis for specific patients with or without diabetes or past myocardial infarction and therefore argued that the selection of imaging technique should depend on patients' characteristics. The findings of the current study have practical implications for enhancing the area of cardiovascular imaging. Since the study compares multiple imaging biomarkers and their relationship to clinical outcomes, it presents more sophisticated perspective on the advantages and disadvantages of each imaging technique. It means that current knowledge and state of the art information regarding the application of imaging results in routine clinical practice make possible more accurate conclusions concerning integral approaches in distinct disease management. In addition, the paper explains the biological basis of these imaging biomarkers as a direction of the study. For example, It shows that coronary artery calcium is pro-inflammatory and represents stable atherosclerotic disease burden and myocardial fibrosis is irreversible myocardial remodelling. Such biological findings provide renewed supports to clinical associations of these biomarkers and stress the role of imaging in rendering the pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease [18].

In particular, it has become significantly easier to obtain precise and reliable data regarding the cardiovascular system and its abnormalities through the use of technology. High-resolution CT scanners and advanced MRI sequences also have made some improvements that help to detect the changes in the cardiovascular structure. The study focuses on the effect of such advancements in technology by pointing out that they have lessened the ability of inter and intra observer variation in imaging interpretation and increased the reliability of its outcome. This reliability is very important for imaging studies to be adopted in clinical practice because the results which are derived from the studies must be accurate for clinical use. The implications of such a theory for tasking a patient's clinical practice are profound. The use of advanced imaging technology in routine cardiovascular risk- screening will transform the clinical practitioner's ability to identify and address at- risk patients. Detailed knowledge on the anatomy and function that can be obtained from imaging results in more accurate estimations of the disease stage and development, and thus, treatment plans. For example, through CT angiography the patients present with high-risk plaques may be advocated for intensified medical management or percutaneous coronary interventions. This approach benefits the patients since it enhances their health, in addition to the efficient use of resources since more efforts and resources are directed to patients who are most likely to gain from the interventions.

Consequences pertinent to public health are also relevant in this case equally. This is due to the potential of imaging-based risk assessment currently seen in delivery systems to impact population health management on a large scale. Magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography can help in the early and accurate detection of patients with increased risk of developing cardiovascular diseases; thus, the usage of imaging can assist in the latter's prevention and reduction of adverse cardiovascular events including heart attacks and strokes. Practical incorporation of imaging- based risk assessment in various care units

however has its own planning tactics. The study offers several recommendations, including expanding the availability of advanced imaging technologies in rural/remote health care facilities, as well as educating/upskilling the latter's workforce in imaging recommendations and readings, and establishing more meaped guidelines to followed by the various center.

However, the study also has some drawbacks, which should be noted According to the results obtained, several limitations should be mentioned. In the light of these findings, the limitations as the small number of participants, and or imaging variability could impact on the findings. Further, collection of data may be another limitation particularly in relation to variations in imaging acquisition and post processing. The study also emphasized the importance of further big-scale, multisite research studies in replicating the results for its generalization to the other populations. Such studies could help overcome the deficiencies with regard to the size and variability of the studied samples, which could increase the reliability of the evidence regarding integration of imaging into routine practice.

Emerging research agenda is important in developing the field. This was a single center study, and the authors recommend future research with higher patient numbers and from other centers so as to develop other imaging biomarkers and methods. Such studies should endeavour to have samples of people from all stations in life to increase the external validity of the conclusions made. In addition, there is a need for more studies on cost-utility and practicability of employing advanced imaging services in order to provide timely solutions in case of challenges towards utilization. Comprehending these economic effects and defining the further successful approach to provide imaging for all categories of patients will be the research's success factors in terms of contributing to the greatest quantity of useful life improvements. Therefore, the discussion on the present research in the application of radiological imaging in evaluation of cardiovascular risk and disease progression supports the inclusion of these technologies in fractional cardiovascular management. The results support and extend the literature in terms

of the biological explanation of the process and the technological aspects explaining their application in a clinical setting. Through the prism of reflection, the study demonstrates how imaging can contribute to the accuracy of diagnosis, individualization of treatment, and consumers' health. Nevertheless, it is understood that there are certain restrictions for the given study and that further research is needed pointing to the fact

that this is a rather innovative field. The research outlines the aforementioned barriers and opens the door for further development as well as innovative techniques in imaging of cardiovascular pathologies, thus paving the way for valuable future progress in the early detection, diagnostic, and treatment approach to cardiovascular disease.

Conclusion

The paper focuses on the application of radiological imaging in cardiovascular evaluation and disease progression; this is shown to have better results as compared with other commonly used approaches. For instance, in early diagnosis of atherosclerosis, a broad range of new diagnostics like CT angiography and cardiac MRI enable cardiologists to study structural and functional changes, thereby allowing for the delivery of specific and effective interventions in cases of cardiovascular disease (CVD). It confirms the value of implementing the above imaging technologies into commonplace clinical care to enhance diagnostic yield and, thereby, patients' outcomes. This has further reaffirmed the role of Imaging biomarkers for CVD, and at the same time urged the scientists to continue with the promoting RM &D in this line since it is vital in improving CVD management.

References

[1] F. James H. Stein MD, "Use of Carotid Ultrasound to Identify Subclinical Vascular Disease and Evaluate Cardiovascular Disease Risk: A Consensus Statement from the American Society of Echocardiography Carotid

Intima-Media Thickness Task Force Endorsed by the Society for Vascular," *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography,* vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 93-111, 2008.

[2] S. A. G. M. (Co-Chair), "Multimodality Imaging of Diseases of the Thoracic Aorta in Adults: From the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging: Endorsed by the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography and Society for Cardiova," *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography,* vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 119-182, 2015.

[3] F. Barkas, "Advancements in risk stratification and management strategies in primary cardiovascular prevention," *Atherosclerosis,* vol. 395, p. 117579, 2024.

[4] D. R. Messroghli, "Clinical recommendations for cardiovascular magnetic resonance mapping of T1, T2, T2* and extracellular volume: A consensus statement by the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) endorsed by the European Association for Cardiovascular Imagi," *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance,* vol. 19, no. 1, p. 75, 2016.

[5] A. S. MD, "Assessment of clinically silent atherosclerotic disease and established and novel risk factors for predicting myocardial infarction and cardiac death in healthy middle-aged subjects: Rationale and design of the Heinz Nixdorf RECALL Study," *American Heart Journal,* vol. 144, no. 2, pp. 212-218, 2002.

[6] B. D. MD, "Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors: Epidemiology and Risk Assessment," *The American Journal of*

Cardiology, vol. 105, no. 1, pp. 3A-9A, 2010.

[7] M. Guglielmo, "Epicardial fat and coronary artery disease: Role of cardiac imaging," *Atherosclerosis,* vol. 321, pp. 30-38, 2021.

[8] R. C. Cury, "CAD-RADSTM Coronary Artery Disease – Reporting and Data System. An expert consensus document of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT), the American College of Radiology (ACR) and the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging (NA," *Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography,* vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 269-281, 2016.

[9] A. L. Gullo, "Speckle tracking echocardiography as a new diagnostic tool for an assessment of cardiovascular disease in rheumatic patients.," *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases,* vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 327-340, 2020.

[10] F. Sophie Mavrogeni MD, "Heart involvement in rheumatoid arthritis: Multimodality imaging and the emerging role of cardiac magnetic resonance," *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism,* vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 314-324, 2013.

[11] J. Ma, "Bi-directional analysis between fatty liver and cardiovascular disease risk factors," *Journal of Hepatology,* vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 390-397, 2017.

[12] M. F. D. C. MD, "Value of metabolic imaging with positron emission tomography for evaluating prognosis in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction," *The American Journal of Cardiology,* vol. 73, no. 8, pp. 527-533, 1994.

[13] M. A. G. MD, "Endothelial function, inflammation, and prognosis in cardiovascular disease," *The American Journal of Medicine,* vol. 115, no. 8, pp. 99-106, 2003. J. Myers, "Physical Activity andardiorespiratory Fitness as Major Markers of Cardiovascular Risk: Their Independent and Interwoven Importance to Health Status," *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases,* vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 306-314, 2015. J. D. Schuijf, "CT imaging with ultra- high-resolution: Opportunities for cardiovascular imaging in clinical practice," *Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography,* vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 388-396, 2022. S. M. Francque, "Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and cardiovascular risk: Pathophysiological mechanisms and implications," *Journal of Hepatology,* vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 425-443, 2016. H. S. Hecht, "2016 SCCT/STR guidelines for coronary artery calcium scoring of noncontrast noncardiac chest CT scans: A report of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography and Society of Thoracic Radiology," *Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography,* vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 74-84, 2017. J. Schulz-Menger, "Standardized image interpretation and post-processing in cardiovascular magnetic resonance - 2020 update: Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR): Board of Trustees Task Force on Standardized Post-Processing," *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance,* vol. 22, no. 1, p. 19, 2020.